Check for updates

# **Risk Stratification for In-Hospital Mortality in Adult Patients with COVID-19**

Marzieh Pazoki<sup>1</sup>, Pooya Payandemehr<sup>2</sup>, Mahnaz Montazeri<sup>3</sup>, Samira Kafan<sup>1</sup>, Mehrdad Sheikhvatan<sup>4,5</sup>, Mehran Sotoodehnia<sup>2</sup>, Ahmad Salimzadeh<sup>6</sup>, Mehdi Ebrahimi<sup>7</sup>, Atabak Najafi<sup>8</sup>, Reza Shariat Moharari<sup>8</sup>, Mohammad Reza Khajavi<sup>8</sup>, Mohammad Talebpour<sup>9</sup>, Haleh Ashraf<sup>5,10</sup>, Seyed Mohammad Kazem Aghamir<sup>11</sup>, Shahrokh Karbalai Saleh<sup>12</sup>, Ali Shakouri Rad<sup>13</sup>, Hedieh Moradi Tabriz<sup>14</sup>, Farhad Najmeddin<sup>15</sup>, Pantea Arya<sup>16</sup>, Niloufar Peirovi<sup>17</sup>, Sina Kazemian<sup>17</sup>, Mehdi Kashani<sup>5</sup>, Sorya Babaei<sup>5</sup> and Azar Hadadi<sup>3\*</sup>

1. Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

- 2. Department of Emergency Medicine. Sina Hospital. Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 3. Research Center For Clinical Virology, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 4. Heidelberg Medical Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany
- 5. Research Development Center, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 6. Rheumatology Research Center, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 7. Department of Endocrinology Medicine, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 8. Department of Anesthesiology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 9. Department of Surgery, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 10. Cardiac Primary Prevention Research Center (CPPRC), Cardiovascular Disease Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 11. Department of Urology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 12. Department of Cardiology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 13. Department of Radiology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 14. Department of Pathology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 15. Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

16. Department of Psychology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

17. Students' Scientific Research Center (SSRC), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

\* Corresponding author

#### Azar Hadadi, MD

Department of Infectious Diseases, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran **Email:** hadadiaz@tums.ac.ir

Received: Jun 28 2021 Accepted: Sept 18 2021

#### Citation to this article:

Pazoki M, Payandemehr P, Montazeri M, Kafan S, Sheikhvatan M, Hadadi A, et al. Risk Stratification for Inhospital Mortality in Adult Patients with COVID-19. *J Iran Med Counc.* 2021; 4(4):322-34.

# Abstract

**Background:** Since the outbreak of coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19), identifying risk factors associated with in-hospital mortality has been a global priority. In this study, the purpose was to evaluate the clinical, laboratory, and radiological characteristics of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 to develop a predictive model and scoring system for in-hospital mortality.

**Methods:** In this retrospective cohort study, 611 adult patients with COVID-19, admitted to Sina hospital were enrolled and followed up.

**Results:** Out of the total number of 611 patients, 104 patients (17%) deceased during hospitalization, including 75 (12.2%) deaths in ICU and 29 (4.7%) deaths in the wards. After multivariate logistic regression analysis, several characteristics including age >55 years, previous history of malignancy, history of cerebrovascular accident, tachypnea on admission, CRP>54 on admission, D-dimer>1300, and bilateral pulmonary consolidation on chest Computed Tomography (CT) were shown to be the main determinants for stratifying the risk for inhospital death. The factors were finally considered for introducing a new predictive scoring system for COVID-19 related death.

**Conclusion:** In-hospital mortality rate in patients with COVID-19 is estimated to be 17%. A new scoring system for predicting in-hospital mortality in such patients was structured based on determinant factors of advanced age, history of malignancy, cerebrovascular accident, tachypnea, raised CRP, raised D-dimer on admission, and bilateral pulmonary consolidation on chest CT scan.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Mortality, Risk factors, SARS-CoV-2

## Introduction

The 2019 novel Corona Virus (2019-nCoV) was the cause of unexplained viral pneumonia in Wuhan, China in December 2019, and was acknowledged by the World Health Organization (WHO) on January 12, 2020 (1-5). On March 11, 2020, the WHO announced the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) outbreak as a pandemic (6). There have been 133,538,036 laboratory-confirmed cases reported worldwide until April 9, 2021, and 2,894,010 of these patients deceased (7).

Approximately 14% of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) develop a severe disease needing hospitalization and oxygen support. Furthermore, 5% of this population need admission to an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) (8). According to recent studies, the mortality rate is higher among elderly patients and those with a history of comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and coronary artery disease (1,9,10). Since there is no definitive cure for this disease, early identification of risk factors associated with severe disease and poor clinical outcome can lead to the earlier initiation of appropriate supportive care, thereby reducing mortality. Awareness of patients' initial characteristics and outcomes is pivotal for health and government officials involved in planning efforts to address local outbreaks.

As one of the hotspots of the disease, Iran has reported 2,006,934 confirmed cases with 63,884 deaths until April 9, 2021 (7). Although many articles have established the clinical features of COVID-19 patients so far (1,2,5,9-16), there are scarce reports regarding the clinical characteristics, incidence, and risk factors associated with in-hospital mortality of Iranian patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (17). In this study, an attempt was made to evaluate the clinical, laboratory, and radiological characteristics of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 to develop a predictive model and scoring system for in-hospital mortality.

## **Materials and Methods**

In this study, 611 adult patients were recruited who were hospitalized with COVID-19 diagnosis in Sina hospital, one of the major tertiary teaching hospitals affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Sciences and a government-designated hospital for COVID-19 treatment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1399.005).

The diagnosis of COVID-19 was based on a positive result obtained using real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) and a routine oropharyngeal swab or endotracheal specimens. Also, some highly suspicious patients were enrolled according to the WHO's interim guidance and Iranian National Committee of COVID-19 (5,18), including patients with ground-glass opacity alone or groundglass opacity accompanied with consolidation on chest Computed Tomography (CT), along with symptoms compatible with those in COVID-19 disease. The algorithm of patient care for individuals presenting with respiratory symptoms to Sina hospital emergency department has been published before (19). Demographic characteristics, clinical information (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, malignancy, cerebrovascular accident, chronic kidney disease or kidney transplantation), laboratory data, as well as evidence of pulmonary defects on CT were all obtained from the electronic registry record.

The severity of CT scan findings was estimated by visual assessment (mild:  $\leq 25\%$ , moderate: 26-49%, severe: 50-74%, very severe:  $\geq 75\%$  involvement of both lungs). The clinical classifications are as follows: (a) mild to moderate, with mild symptoms up to mild pneumonia; (b) severe type with dyspnea, oxygen saturation  $\leq 93\%$ , or >50% lung involvement on imaging; and (c) critical type with respiratory failure, shock, or multiorgan organ dysfunction (20). Patients with at least two complications were considered to have multiorgan damage. The study endpoint was to assess in-hospital mortality defined as the death that occurred during hospitalization due to the development of COVID-19 without other specific reasons.

Descriptive data were expressed as median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and number (percentage) for categorical variables. Means of continuous variables were compared using independent group T-tests. Proportions for categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square. Also, univariate and multivariate regression was used to identify risk factors associated

with death in patients with COVID-19. The Odds Ratio (OR) along with 95% CI was reported. Variables used in the multivariate model were based on the univariate analysis results (p<0.05). Other significant variables in univariate analysis were excluded due to collinearity or lack of sufficient data in most cases. In order to develop scoring system for risk stratification, the final logistic regression model was used as the method previously described by Sullivan et al (21). In this regard, all study variables obtained to be significant in the multivariate logistic regression model were considered as the categorical binary variables (even continuous variables based on the best cut-off value for each variable with the optimal sensitivity and specificity determined by the area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve). For all variables structured as categorical, the distance between a variable and its base (reference) category in regression coefficient units was equal to the coefficient's size. To obtain final scores for each risk factor, the constant corresponding to one point was considered in the risk scoring system. Each risk factor's point value was calculated by dividing the distance of each risk factor from the base category in regression coefficient units by this constant. The total risk score was obtained by adding up the points for all significant risk factors. The predicted risk associated with each risk score was recalibrated by multiplying it by the observed mortality rate ratio and was finally re-scored as low, moderate, high, and very high risk according to the percentage of quartile of predicted risk. Our recently updated databases with 906 samples were used to evaluate the scoring system's usefulness and the mortality probability in different groups by using the Kaplan-Meier analysis and the bootstrapping technique. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software v22.0 (IBM, USA), and STATA software v13.7 (StataCorp, USA), and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Although the data regarding demographics and history of comorbidities were complete and the rate of missing data for drug history and most laboratory data was less than 15%, the data for Lactate De Hydrogenase (LDH), the high-sensitivity assay for troponin I (hs-cTnI), calcium and phosphorus, chest CT scan, and Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) were not available in 37.1%, 36.3%, 27.3%, 26.4%,

and 19.3% of patients.

#### Results

In this study, the demographic and clinical features of 611 patients were evaluated. They were admitted to the emergency room with COVID-19 diagnosis, with the median age of 57 years (interquartile range: 44-69 years old), and 68.7% of patients were male. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test was done in 327 patients among whom 140 (42.8%) had positive PCR for COVID-19, with a 27.1% (38 out of 140) mortality rate in patients with a positive PCR test. The rest were highly suspected according to clinical and imaging findings. Almost three-fourths of the patients (75.4%, 343 of 611) were categorized as severe or critical.

The most common symptoms on admission were cough (68.7%) and dyspnea (54.2%), followed by fever at pre-admission (53.5%), myalgia (41.7%), malaise (28.2), gastrointestinal symptoms (25.4%), headache (15.7%), chest tightness (9.7%), loss of consciousness (5.9%), and sore throat (4.3%). Comorbidities were found in 59.2 % of cases, the most significant being hypertension (44.2%), diabetes (37.8%), and cardiovascular disease (23.3%). Severe lung involvement in CT scan was observed in 345 of 455 patients (75.8%). In imaging assessment by CT, bilateral and unilateral ground-glass opacities were revealed in 70.1% and 5.8% of cases, respectively. In addition, bilateral and unilateral consolidation was also found in 10.2% and 6.2% of cases, respectively. Leukocytosis [White blood cells (WBCs more than  $10 \times 10^{9}/L$  was detected in the initial test in 22.1% of patients, leukopenia (WBCs less than  $4 \times 10^{9}/L$ ) in 9.7%, and lymphopenia (Lymphocyte count lower than  $1.1 \times 10^{9}/L$ ) in 42.5% of patients. Thrombocytopenia (Platelet count lower than  $100 \times 10^{9}/L$ ) was seen in 5.6% of patients. Regarding the medications prescribed during hospitalization, various types of antibiotics were used in 62.2%, antiviral drugs in 93.1%, antioxidants in 12.4%, immunomodulators in 3.6%, and antifungal drugs in 1.0% of the patients.

Out of the total number of 611 patients, 455 (74.5%) patients were hospitalized, 21.1% were admitted to the ICU, and 156 (25.5%) patients were discharged from the emergency room. Almost three-fourths of the patients (75.4%) were classified as severe or critical on admission, and 104 patients (17%) deceased

during hospitalization, including 75 deaths (12.2%) in ICU and 29 deaths (4.7%) in the wards. In-hospital mortality rates for COVID-19 were estimated to be 1.0% (2 of 210) in non-severe patients. The mean duration of hospitalization was 5 days (interquartile range:1.0-6.0 days).

Univariate comparison of in-hospital survived and non-survived patients showed the difference in average age, history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, malignancy, previous lung disease, cerebrovascular accident, the history of metformin or aspirin use, tachycardia or tachypnea on admission, oxygen saturation on admission, and bilateral consolidation of the lung in CT. Among laboratory indices, deceased patients had significantly higher WBC count, higher neutrophil count, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels, creatinine, higher potassium, calcium, phosphorus, LDH, ESR, C-Reactive Protein (CRP), serum Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and D-dimer (p=0.017) on admission (Table 1).

In the multivariate logistic regression, advanced age (p=0.039), history of malignancy (p=0.034), history of cerebrovascular accident (p=0.034), tachypnea (p=0.018), raised CRP (p=0.023), raised D-dimer (p=0.023), and bilateral pulmonary consolidation on CT (p=0.046) were the main determinants of inhospital mortality (Table 2). In addition, Hosmer and Lemeshow's test result (Chi-square=3.62, p-value=0.890) indicated a proper fit for this model. In a subgroup analysis of patients with a positive PCR test (N=140), the multivariate regression model indicated similar findings to the main model, except for D-dimer (Table 3) using Hosmer and Lemeshow test result (Chi-square=8.06, p-value=0.427), which

indicates a good model fit. For categorizing four continuous variables of age, CRP, D-dimer, and respiratory rate, the area under the ROC curve was analyzed, yielding the best cut-off values of 55 years for age, 18/min for respiratory rate,  $1300 \ \mu g/L$  for D-dimer, and  $54 \ mg/L$  for CRP (Figure 1).

The point scores for the obtained risk parameters in the logistic regression analysis are summarized in Table 4. The minimum total risk score was 0 for a patient without any risk profile. However, the maximum score was 59 for the patients older than 55 years, with a positive history of CerebroVascular Accident (CVA) and a type of malignancy, presented with the symptom of tachypnea, accompanied by elevated CRP and D-dimer on admission, and evidence of bilateral consolidation in chest CT scan. Finally, total risk scores of less than 15, between 15 to 30, between 30 to 45, and higher than 45 were considered low, moderate, high, and very high risks, respectively for in-hospital deaths due to COVID-19 disease. For instance, the patient aged 58 years with previous stroke history and bilateral consolidation in CT view (total risk score of 25) was stratified in moderate risk group for in-hospital deaths. Finally, the scoring system in our updated databases was evaluated. After the Kaplan-Meier analysis, in patients with a higher score, there was a significantly higher probability of in-hospital death (p<0.001) (Figure 2). Also, the mortality probability in each category is presented in (Figure 3).

Item	Survived group (n=507)	Non-survived group (n=104)	p-value
Male gender	310 (61.1)	67 (64.4)	0.531
Mean age, years	54.39±15.83	69.39±13.07	<0.001
Mean BMI, <i>kg/m2</i>	27.48±4.70	27.38±4.65	0.903
History of hypertension, %	168 (33.1)	62 (59.6)	<0.001
History of diabetes, %	135 (26.6)	54 (51.9)	<0.001
Ischemic heart disease, %	93 (18.3)	32 (30.8)	0.004
History of malignancy, %	10 (2.0)	10 (9.6)	<0.001

 Table 1. Comparing baseline variables between survived and non-survived groups

Copyright © 2021, Journal of Iranian Medical Council. All rights reserved.

Cont Table 1			
History of lung disease, %	28 (5.5)	15 (14.4)	0.001
Chronic kidney disease, %	24 (4.7)	8 (7.7)	0.217
History of CVA, %	9 (1.8)	11 (10.6)	<0.001
History of statin use, %	58 (11.4)	18 (17.3)	0.099
History of metformin use, %	58 (11.4)	25 (24.0)	0.001
History of aspirin use, %	58 (11.4)	21 (20.2)	0.015
History of plavix use, %	20 (3.9)	6 (5.8)	0.401
History of insulin use, %	20 (3.9)	6 (5.8)	0.401
Bilateral ground-glass opacity in CT, %	267 (69.9)	50 (71.4)	0.322
Bilateral consolidation in CT, %	29 (7.6)	17 (24.3)	<0.001
Heart rate, /minute	87.80±15.44	91.73±20.27	0.035
Respiratory rate, /minute	19.51±7.71	24.49±10.63	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	123.96±20.10	123.67±23.77	0.907
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	76.41±10.94	74.07±13.02	0.078
Body temperature, °C	37.16±1.60	37.22±0.99	0.731
Oxygen saturation, %	91.97±5.64	84.00±11.73	<0.001
WBC count, /mm3	7.36±3.78	10.31±6.17	<0.001
Neutrophil count	5.48±3.58	8.13±4.94	<0.001
Lymphocyte count	1.45±0.87	1.58±3.17	0.425
Serum hemoglobin, g/dL	13.64±2.01	13.24±2.55	0.092
Serum BUN, <i>mg/dL</i>	30.53±43.04	69.46±91.99	<0.001
Serum sodium, <i>meq/L</i>	135.64±6.94	135.46±6.94	0.761
Serum potassium, <i>meq/L</i>	4.25±0.53	4.48±0.75	<0.001
Serum calcium, <i>mg/dL</i>	8.68±0.67	8.37±0.82	<0.001
Serum phosphorus, mg/dL	3.39±0.85	4.00±1.42	<0.001
Serum lactate dehydrogenase, mg/dL	568.54±225.03	804.00±528.52	<0.001
ESR, mm/h	47.12±29.92	56.45±33.75	0.008
CRP, mg/L	59.41±50.06	96.32±54.85	<0.001
СК-МВ, <i>µg/L</i>	47.55±46.32	61.31±46.50	0.162
INR	1.12±0.24	1.17±0.19	0.211
Serum AST, <i>mg/dL</i>	53.69±30.27	96.22±20.00	<0.001
Serum ALT, <i>mg/dL</i>	41.17±10.59	62.54±10.61	0.001
Serum ALP, <i>mg/dL</i>	189.23±126.66	219.56±140.80	0.057
Serum creatinine, <i>mg/dL</i>	1.18±0.82	1.60±1.10	<0.001
PH	7.42±0.07	7.39±0.11	0.001
PCO2, mmHg	38.56±7.67	38.00±12.28	0.638
HCO3, mEq/L	25.12±4.22	22.50±4.91	<0.001
Serum D-dimer, µg/L	1822.31±217.03	4006.88±822.17	0.017
Serum ferritin, <i>ug/L</i>	590.14±52.11	852.29±169.25	0.083

BMI: body mass index, CVA: cerebrovascular accident, CT: computed tomography, WBC: white blood cell, BUN: blood urea nitrogen, ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP: C-reactive protein, CK-MB: creatine kinase myocardial band, INR: international normalized ratio, AST: aspartate transaminase, ALT: alanine aminotransferase, ALP: alkaline phosphatase, PCO2: pressure of carbon dioxide, HCO3: bicarbonate.

Table 2	2.	The	multivariate	logistic	regression	model	for	determining	the	main	determinant	of	in-hospital	death	in
COVID	-19	) pat	ients												

					95.0% CI for OR		
	Coefficient	p-value (Univariate)	p-value (Multivariate)	Odds Ratio (OR)	Lower	Upper	
Age	2.500	<0.001	0.039	1.083	1.004	1.169	
Hypertension	1.280	<0.001	0.425	2.183	0.320	14.925	
Diabetes mellitus	0.218	<0.001	0.817	1.244	0.196	7.881	
Ischemic heart disease	1.574	0.004	0.089	4.831	0.785	29.412	
History of malignancy	4.088	<0.001	0.034	59.623	1.353	2.628	
History of lung disease	0.154	0.001	0.904	1.167	0.095	14.357	
History of CVA	5.065	<0.001	0.034	158.315	1.457	1.720	
History of metformin use	0.941	0.001	0.362	2.564	0.339	19.386	
History of ASA use	0.278	0.015	0.798	1.319	0.157	11.111	
Heart rate on admission	0.041	0.035	0.080	1.042	0.995	1.091	
Respiratory rate on admission	8.204	<0.001	0.018	1.103	1.017	1.196	
Oxygen saturation on admission	-0.093	<0.001	0.052	0.911	0.829	1.001	
Bilateral consolidation in CT	2.568	<0.001	0.046	12.987	1.051	166.067	
WBC count on admission	-0.126	<0.001	0.227	0.882	0.719	1.081	
Lymphocyte count on admission	0.021	<0.001	0.513	1.022	0.958	1.089	
RBC count on admission	0.260	0.009	0.626	1.297	0.456	3.685	
RDW on admission	0.291	0.001	0.116	1.339	0.930	1.923	
BUN on admission	0.006	<0.001	0.339	1.006	0.994	1.019	
Potassium on admission	0.446	<0.001	0.601	1.562	0.294	8.307	
Calcium on admission	0.745	<0.001	0.130	2.105	0.803	5.525	
Phosphorus on admission	0.296	<0.001	0.548	1.345	0.511	3.540	
ESR1hr	0.011	0.015	0.492	1.011	0.981	1.042	
CRP on admission	2.474	<0.001	0.023	1.019	1.003	1.035	
AST on admission	0.014	0.001	0.088	1.014	0.998	1.030	
Creatinine on admission	1.064	<0.001	0.242	2.898	0.487	17.228	
Serum D-dimer	2.258	0.017	0.023	3.589	1.225	5.568	

Hosmer and Lemeshow test result: Chi-square: 3.62, p-value: 0.890.

CVA: cerebrovascular accident, ASA: aspirin, CT: computed tomography, WBC: white blood cell, RBC: red blood cell, RDW: red cell distribution width, BUN: blood urea nitrogen, ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP: C-reactive protein, AST: aspartate transaminase.

**Table 3.** The multivariate logistic regression model for determining the main determinant of in-hospital death in COVID-19

 patients with a positive PCR test

	Coofficient	oofficient p-value p-value			95.0% CI for OR		
	Coemcient	(Univariate)	(Multivariate)	(OR)	Lower	Upper	
Age	0.138	<0.001	0.029	1.15	1.01	1.30	
Hypertension	1.299	0.003	0.234	3.67	0.43	31.22	
Diabetes mellitus	1.205	0.057	0.270	3.34	0.39	28.39	
History of ASA use	1.395	0.059	0.399	4.03	0.16	102.98	
Respiratory rate on admission	0.283	0.009	0.040	1.33	1.01	1.74	
Oxygen saturation on admission	-0.014	<0.001	0.897	0.99	0.80	1.21	
Bilateral consolidation on CT	3.784	0.032	0.061	44.01	0.84	231.8	
WBC count on admission	-0.525	0.002	0.058	0.59	0.34	1.02	
RDW on admission	0.037	0.027	0.848	1.04	0.71	1.51	
BUN on admission	0.001	0.012	0.947	1.00	0.98	1.02	
ESR1hr	0.004	0.065	0.835	1.00	0.97	1.04	
CRP on admission	0.032	0.002	0.038	1.03	1.00	1.06	
Creatinine on admission	-0.093	0.055	0.947	0.91	0.06	13.95	

Hosmer and Lemeshow test result: Chi-square: 8.06, p-value: 0.427

PCR: polymerase chain reaction, ASA: aspirin, CT: computed tomography, WBC: white blood cell, RDW: red cell distribution width, BUN: blood urea nitrogen, ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP: C-reactive protein.

## Table 4. Risk scores for COVID-19 in-hospital mortality

Parameters	Risk score
History of CVA	
Positive	13
Negative	0
History of malignancy	
Positive	10
Negative	0
Bilateral consolidation on CT	
Positive	6
Negative	0
Age, year	
>55	6
≤55	0
Respiratory rate, per minute	
>18	20
≤18	0
Raised CRP on admission, <i>mg/L</i>	
>54	2
≤54	0
Raised D-dimer, µg/L	
>1300	2
≤1300	0
Total risk score	59
CVA: cerebrovascular accident, CT: computed tomography, CRP: C-reactive protein.	



Masiablaa	Area		Asympt confidenc	Asymptotic 95% confidence interval		0	0
Variables		p-value	Lower bound	Upper bound	Cut- off	Sensitivity	Specificity
Age	0.728	<0.001	0.663	0.793	55 years	82%	53%
Respiratory rate	0.632	0.001	0.548	0.717	18/min	72%	46%
CRP	0.709	<0.001	0.641	0.776	54 mg/L	80%	53%
D-dimer	0.691	0.005	0.560	0.822	1300 µg/L	64%	62%

**Figure 1.** Area under the ROC curve to determine the cut-off value of quantitative variables for predicting COVID-19 related mortality.



Figure 2. Cumulative hazard plot of in-hospital mortality based on different scoring system categories.

IRANIAN MEDICAL COUNCIL 329



**Figure 3.** 95% confidence intervals of in-hospital mortality probability estimated with the bias-corrected and accelerated bootstrap method from an updated database for evaluating the scoring system.

#### Discussion

There are many estimates of COVID-19 Case Fatality Rate (CFR). The global case-fatality rate in confirmed cases of COVID-19 with 14 days of delay is 2.17% (2,894,010 of 133,538,036 till 9 April) (7,22) while the mortality rate in hospitalized and critically ill patients is expected to be higher. As of today, the in-hospital mortality rate varies from 12.6-22.9% in different countries (11,23-26), with significantly lower mortality in Asia (12.65%) comparing to Europe (22.9%) and America (22.23%) (27). A mortality rate of 17.0% was observed in hospitalized patients. Almost three-fourths of the patients in this study were identified as severe cases, which can justify this relatively high in-hospital mortality. Studies reported that COVID-19 patients in Europe and America were significantly older with a higher incidence of comorbid conditions than patients in Asia, which may explain the difference in CFR and in-hospital mortality between these continents (27).

In line with previous reports (28,29), our results showed the majority of the patients were male (68.7%), which may be related to the more expression of ACE2 receptor in males and the lack of protection of estrogen and X chromosome (28). Nevertheless, gender was not an independent predictor of mortality in our study. The current study also showed advanced age as the main predictor of in-hospital mortality. The defects in T-cell and B-cell function and higher production of type 2 cytokines secondary to aging could lead to uncontrolled virus replication and more extended pro-inflammatory reactions, potentially resulting in more adverse outcomes (29).

This retrospective cohort study identified several risk factors for mortality in Iranian adult patients who were hospitalized with COVID-19 diagnosis. Zhou et al (30) identified several risk factors for death in adults in Wuhan, China. In their study, older age, raised D-dimer, and higher SOFA score on admission were associated with higher odds of in-hospital mortality. Additionally, elevated levels of hs-cTnI and lactate dehydrogenase were more commonly seen in patients with severe COVID-19. In a systematic review, Ma C et al (31) evaluated 30 studies. They reported that old age (≥60years) followed by cardiovascular disease, hypertension, and diabetes were independent factors for the COVID-19 related mortality. In the present study, advanced age, history of malignancy or CVA, tachypnea, raised CRP, raised D-dimer, or the evidence of bilateral consolidation in CT on admission were identified as independent predictors of inhospital mortality (Table 2). COVID-19 patients with underlying diseases such as hypertension, diabetes

mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, malignancies, cerebrovascular accident, immunosuppression, renal failure, and chronic respiratory diseases are especially susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection (32,33). In this cohort, comorbidities were present in most patients (67.0%). Besides, a positive history of malignancy or CVA had significantly higher odds of in-hospital mortality. Moreover, the pre-admission history of taking medications in patients with COVID-19 was evaluated and there was no increased risk of mortality with any medication after adjusting other variables (Table 2).

In our analysis, more than two-thirds of patients with COVID-19 experienced respiratory symptoms, and about one-third experienced gastrointestinal symptoms. A substantial proportion of patients presented with cardiac injury, liver, kidney dysfunction, and hyperglycemia. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2(ACE2) was reported to be expressed in small intestinal epithelial cells, cholangiocytes, and the pancreas (9,34,35), indicating that direct cytopathic effects through an ACE2-dependent pathway may induce the multiorgan injury in patients with COVID-19. Deposition of immune complexes of virus antigen or virus-induced specific immunological mechanisms, besides indirect effects on renal tissue, such as hypoxia, shock, and rhabdomyolysis, may play a role in Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) (36). Meanwhile, regarding cardiac injury, systemic pro-inflammatory cytokine responses, production of procoagulant agents, and hemodynamic disturbances may lead to ischemia and thrombosis (37). In addition, liver impairment, systemic inflammation, elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress in hepatocytes, liver CD8+ T cells, and hypoxemia play a role in liver enzyme disturbance (38).

One of the limitations of this study was that there was incomplete documentation of several cases. Moreover, PCR testing was performed only in some patients, and all tests were not positive which could be related to test sensitivity and sampling. Also, due to the lack of sufficient diagnostic kits in the early surge of the disease and diagnostic uncertainty, this test was not performed in all patients. Symptoms and imaging findings in cases without available PCR tests were reviewed precisely, and those with symptoms incompatible with COVID-19 were excluded. The

findings were measured on admission time and may represent the severity of COVID-19, while the causal relationship between abnormal findings and severity could not be ascertained. Despite significant missing data in some of our database variables, all variables were required to be included in our final assessment. Due to complexity of the COVID-19 management in its early surge as well as the high load of admitted patients, an appropriate database could not be organized for all consecutive patients. Therefore, a high volume of missing data in some variables was inevitable. Furthermore, this study was an observational study with limitations in evaluating the efficacy of corticosteroids and antiviral drugs, and further research, including multi-center studies, is still needed.

#### Conclusion

In this report, the clinical, laboratory, and radiological characteristics as well as the complications and outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients who deceased were compared with characteristics of those who survived in a tertiary hospital in Iran. It was found that advanced age, history of malignancy, history of cerebrovascular accident, tachypnea, raised CRP, raised D-dimer, and bilateral pulmonary consolidation on CT were the main determinants of in-hospital mortality and finally a new scoring system was introduced for stratifying the risk of death.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the assistance of all healthcare workers involved in the diagnosis and treatment process of patients in Sina hospital. We are indebted to the Research Development Center of Sina hospital for its support. The authors are grateful to Dr. Ehsan Saraee for designing, consultation, and technical support of the electronic database registry, Mrs. Masoumeh Taleh, Mr. Saeed Hejrani, and members of the COVID-19 Crisis Management Committee of the Sina hospital for their help and advice. The protocol of this study corresponds to the 2013 Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1399.005).

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Funding

This work was supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences [Grant number: 99-1-101-47193]. The funding source of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the manuscript.

## Availability of data and material

Data will be available on request

## Ethics approval

The protocol of this study corresponds to the 2013 Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1399.005). All participants gave written informed consent before inclusion in the study.

## References

1. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):507-13.

2. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):497-506.

3. Hui DS, Azhar EI, Madani TA, Ntoumi F, Kock R, Dar O, et al. The continuing 2019-nCoV epidemic threat of novel coronaviruses to global health - The latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China. Int J Infect Dis 2020 Feb;91:264-6.

4. Lu H, Stratton CW, Tang YW. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China: The mystery and the miracle. J Med Virol 2020 Apr;92(4):401-2.

5. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when COVID-19 is suspected, 2020. (Accessed March 30, 2020, at https://www.who.int/publications-detail/clinical-management-of-severe-acute-respiratory-infection-when-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-is-suspected).

6. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. Acta Biomed 2020;91(1):157-60.

7. WHO coronavirus disease (COVID-19) dashboard. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020. Available online: https://covid19.who.int/ (last cited: November 3, 2020; 3:00pm CET).

8. Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2020 Feb 10;41(2):145-51. Chinese.

9. Soleimani A, Kazemian S, Karbalai Saleh S, Aminorroaya A, Shajari Z, Hadadi A, et al. Effects of Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs) on in-hospital outcomes of patients with hypertension and confirmed or clinically suspected COVID-19. Am J Hypertens 2020 Dec 31;33(12):1102-11.

10. Pazoki M, Keykhaei M, Kafan S, Montazeri M, Mirabdolhagh Hazaveh M, Sotoodehnia M, et al. Risk indicators associated with in-hospital mortality and severity in patients with diabetes mellitus and confirmed or clinically suspected COVID-19. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2021 Jan 7;20(1):1-11.

11. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020 Apr 30;382(18):1708-20.

12. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020 Mar 17;323(11):1061-9.

13. Mehraeen E, Behnezhad F, Salehi MA, Noori T, Harandi H, SeyedAlinaghi SA. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions due to the coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a review of current evidence. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol

2021 Feb;278(2):307-12.

14. Mehraeen E, Karimi A, Barzegary A, Vahedi F, Afsahi AM, Dadras O, et al. Predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19-a systematic review. Eur J Integr Med 2020 Dec;40:101226.

15. SeyedAlinaghi S, Oliaei S, Kianzad S, Afsahi AM, MohsseniPour M, Barzegary A, et al. Reinfection risk of novel coronavirus (COVID-19): A systematic review of current evidence. World J Virol 2020 Dec 15;9(5):79-90.

16. Mehraeen E, Seyed Alinaghi SA, Nowroozi A, Nowroozi A, Dadras O, Alilou S, et al. A systematic review of ECG findings in patients with COVID-19. Indian Heart J 2020 Nov-Dec;72(6):500-7.

17. Rahimzadeh H, Kazemian S, Rahbar M, Farrokhpour H, Montazeri M, Kafan S, et al. The risk factors and clinical outcomes associated with acute kidney injury in patients with COVID-19: data from a large cohort in Iran. Kidney Blood Press Res 2021 Jul 27:1-9.

18. COVID-19 Epidemiology committee, 2020. (Accessed March 30, 2020, at http://corona.behdasht.gov.ir/).

19. Talebpour M, Hadadi A, Oraii A, Ashraf H. Rationale and design of a registry in a referral and educational medical center in Tehran, Iran: Sina Hospital Covid-19 Registry (SHCo-19R). Advanced Journal of Emergency Medicine 2020;4(2s):e53-e53.

20. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA 2020 Apr 7;323(13):1239-42.

21. Sullivan LM, Massaro JM, D'Agostino RB, Sr. Presentation of multivariate data for clinical use: The Framingham Study risk score functions. Stat Med 2004 May 30;23(10):1631-60.

22. Baud D, Qi X, Nielsen-Saines K, Musso D, Pomar L, Favre G. Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. Lancet Infect Dis 2020 Jul;20(7):773. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32171390/

23. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York city area. JAMA 2020 May 26;323(20):2052-9.

24. Casas-Rojo JM, Antón-Santos JM, Millán-Núñez-Cortés J, Lumbreras-Bermejo C, Ramos-Rincón JM, Roy-Vallejo E, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in Spain: results from the SEMI-COVID-19 Registry. Rev Clin Esp (Barc) 2020 Nov;220(8):480-494.

25. Nachega JB, Ishoso DK, Otokoye JO, Hermans MP, Machekano RN, Sam-Agudut NA, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for COVID-19 in Africa: early insights from the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2020 Dec;103(6):2419-2428.

26. Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-fatality rate and characteristics of patients dying in relation to COVID-19 in Italy. JAMA 2020 May 12;323(18):1775-6.

27. Goel S, Jain T, Hooda A, Malhotra R, Johal G, Masoomi R, et al. Clinical characteristics and in-hospital mortality for COVID-19 across the globe. Cardiol Ther 2020 Dec;9(2):553-9.

28. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Sandra Erichsen, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 2020 Apr 16;181(2):271-80.e8.

29. Liu J, Liu Y, Xiang P, Pu L, Xiong H, Li C, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts severe illness patients with 2019 novel coronavirus in the early stage. J Transl Med 2020 May 20;18(1): 206.

30. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020 Mar 28;395(10229):1054-62.

31. Ma C, Gu J, Hou P, Zhang L, Bai Y, Guo Z, et al. Incidence, clinical characteristics and prognostic factor of patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. MedRxiv. 2020.

32. Yin Y, Wunderink RG. MERS, SARS and other coronaviruses as causes of pneumonia. Respirology 2018 Feb;23(2):130-7.

33. Hashimoto T, Perlot T, Rehman A, Trichereau J, Ishiguro H, Paolino M, et al. ACE2 links amino acid malnutrition to microbial ecology and intestinal inflammation. Nature 2012 Jul 25;487(7408):477-81.

34. Chai X, Hu L, Zhang Y, Han W, Lu Z, Ke A, et al. Specific ACE2 expression in cholangiocytes may cause liver damage after 2019-nCoV infection. Biorxiv. 2020.

35. Yang JK, Lin SS, Ji XJ, Guo LM. Binding of SARS coronavirus to its receptor damages islets and causes acute diabetes. Acta Diabetol 2010 Sep;47(3):193-9.

36. Cheng Y, Luo R, Wang K, Zhang M, Wang Z,Dong L, et al. Kidney disease is associated with in-hospital death of patients with COVID-19. Kidney Int 2020 May;97(5):829-38.

37. Smeeth L, Thomas SL, Hall AJ, Hubbard R, Farrington P, Vallance P. Risk of myocardial infarction and stroke after acute infection or vaccination. N Engl J Med 2004 Dec 16;351(25):2611-8.

38. Feng G, Zheng KI, Yan QQ, Rios RS, Targher G, Byrne CD, et al. COVID-19 and Liver Dysfunction: Current Insights and Emergent Therapeutic Strategies. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2020 Mar 28;8(1):18-24.