Check for updates

Virtual Reality for Balance After Stroke: A Narrative Review

Fereshteh Poursaeed^{1*}, Noureddin Nokhostin Ansari^{2,3}

1. Northeastern University Transitional Doctor of Physical Therapy Program College of Professional Studies 360 Huntington Avenue Boston, MA 02115, United States of America

2. Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

3. Research Center for War-Affected People, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background: The present study was conducted to provide an upto-date understanding of clinical applications in balance capability, as well as delivering a review of pieces of literature on Virtual Reality (VR) on balance control in stroke survivors.

Methods: Databases including PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Pedro were searched for published papers from 2010 to 2020 with the terms "Game-based rehabilitation", "balance training", "virtual reality", "stroke", "neurorehabilitation", and "virtual environment". We evaluated the effect of VR on balance improvement after a stroke.

Results: 33 articles describing results following the use of VR on balance in patients with stroke that met our inclusion criteria were found. Among these studies, two studies described the results in acute, eight in subacute, twenty-two in chronic stroke patients, and one study included both chronic and subacute stroke patients. The results indicated that balance can be improved with VR.

Conclusion: The results of this study strengthen the idea that VR training has the potential to become an effective adjacent to routine rehabilitation treatments for improving balance status post-stroke. However, conducting a randomized control trial that incorporates all three stroke phases with an appropriate study setting is necessary to achieve an integrated clinical protocol.

Keywords: Clinical Protocols, Humans, Neurological Rehabilitation, Stroke, Survivors, Virtual Reality

* Corresponding author

Fereshteh Poursaeed, DPT

Northeastern University Transitional Doctor of Physical Therapy Program College of Professional Studies, 360 Huntington Avenue Boston, MA 02115, United States of America **Tel:** +44 7915403533 **Email:** poursaeed.f@northeastern.edu

Received: May 22 2023 Accepted: Jun 14 2023

Citation to this article:

Poursaeed F, Nokhostin Ansari N. Virtual Reality for Balance After Stroke: A Narrative Review. *J Iran Med Counc*. 2024;7(1):5-27.

Introduction

Stroke is the third most common cause of disability in the world (1). Stroke can lead to a wide range of sensory, motor, cognitive, and visual impairments (2). About 83% of stroke survivors suffer from balance impairment (3). Poor balance is a risk factor associated with falling (4) and limits the ability to perform daily activities (5). Fear of falling can lead to a sedentary lifestyle and increased impairment (6). Falling also directly or indirectly contributes to lingering treatment, more medical and nursing expenses, and economic losses (3). For enhancing the ability of stroke patients with balance impairment, many rehabilitation strategies have been used, including whole-body vibration (7), mirror therapy (8), traditional Chinese medicine (9), virtual reality (10,11), exercise (12), ankle-foot orthosis (13), and traditional Chinese exercise (14).

According to the 2011 Global Atlas on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Control (CDPC) (15) cited in (16), lack of exercise is a significant risk factor for stroke recurrence and prolonged recovery. The CDPC showed that adherence to rehabilitation steps in patients with stroke started to decline after six weeks of the stroke and reached a minimum of 21 weeks after the stroke (16). While traditional rehabilitation approaches may lead to a lack of interest in patients as daily repetitive tasks are often involved (17), Virtual Reality (VR) rehabilitation can allow a higher dose of the simulated practice of functional activities than traditional therapies (18). VR seeks to replicate real-world activities that can provide more involving tasks compared to conventional rehabilitation (10). Children and adults have identified virtual activities as exciting and enjoyable, prompting higher repetition (19).

VR has increased engagement with the treatment through its program (20). It has a prominent role in supporting post-stroke functional rehabilitation (21). It has also offered a low-cost, effective intervention (22). It may have the ability to provide an enriched environment where individuals with strokes can solve problems and master new abilities (23). VR provides an engaging environment in which a subject can repetitively practice (24). VR compared with traditional exercise, can have a positive impact on the physiological, psychological, and rehabilitative outcomes of individuals (25). The context of a virtual environment is interactive and perceived as close to the real world. VR consists of a variety of technologies to produce artificial sensory information (26), as well as facilitating active exploration, increasing interaction, and providing inspiration. By either integrating games in the form of activities or by other engaging means, VR helps patients engage in their therapy (27). VR can be helpful since it provides multiple scopes for neurological recoveries, such as goal-oriented tasks and repetition (28). The level of physical activity of the user can vary from relatively passive to highly active. However, it depends on the intervention (23). VR depends on software and computer hardware that mediates contact of the users with the virtual world (23). Users relearn the coordination and sense of balance as the simulated real-world scenarios give patients with balance disorder more informational input than the real world (29). In the efficacy of recruiting neural circuits and the outcome of desirable results at the functional level, the fidelity of VR can play an important role (29).

In recent years, VR has been used in stroke recovery (30). The study of the effects of VR training on balance and gait capacity showed the major advantages of VR training on gait velocity, Berg Balance Scale (BBS) scores, and Timed Up & Go Test (TUG) scores when the time dose of VR matched to traditional therapy (10). In 2016, De Rooij *et al* carried out a meta-analysis that demonstrated higher advantages of balanced VR therapies over conventional approaches (31). Lee *et al* suggested that substantial changes were made after VR training in favor of combining postural balance therapies and upper limb motor control in a seated position (32).

To allow clinicians to provide an up-to-date understanding of clinical applications in balance capability, we aimed to deliver a review of pieces of literature on the VR on balance control in stroke survivors.

Materials and Methods Study criteria

The eligible studies were required to have the following criteria:

- 1) To be published in English
- 2) Investigating any form of immersive or

non-immersive VR training aimed at improving poststroke balance control

3) Full-text articles available

4) Study comparing pre-intervention and postintervention outcome measures.

Systematic reviews for the participants who had other diseases than strokes, *e.g.*, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis, Editorial, and letter were excluded.

Search strategy

We searched several electronic databases including, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and PEDro, and published papers from 2010 to 2020. For further relevant studies, we manually reviewed references from the collections. To decide whether the studies met the predetermined inclusion requirements, we checked authors, titles, and abstracts. The following keywords were used: "Game-based rehabilitation", "balance training", "virtual reality", "stroke", "neurorehabilitation", and "virtual environment".

Results

Overview of the included papers

Tables 1, 2, and 3 summarize the findings from our review on VR in acute, subacute, and chronic stroke patients, respectively. We found 33 articles describing results following the use of VR on balance in patients with stroke that met our inclusion criteria. Among these studies, two studies described the result in acute, eight in subacute, twenty-two in chronic stroke patients and one study included both chronic and subacute stroke patients. The total number of participants in each study varied from 2 to 73.

Eighteen studies had a sample size of fewer than 30 participants (33-50) and two studies had over 50 participants (51,52). Of 33 studies, only two studies had follow-up assessments (22,53). Balance was assessed using various outcome measures in different studies. A range of outcome measures was used to measure balance (Tables 1, 2, and 3).

1) Effect of VR training on balance control in acute stroke patients

a) Main findings

Studying the effect of VR on balance in acute stroke patients showed improvement in balance in a randomized controlled and double-blinded pilot study (50). However, another study demonstrated that the addition of VR training to conventional training did not bring additional benefit to the patient's balance, although the balance was improved in all three balance-training modalities (VR, Tetrax, and standard treatment) (54).

b) Characteristics of the included studies

Two studies did not evaluate the long-term effect of VR on balance. Sample sizes were not adequate. One study had a sample size of fewer than 30 participants (50), and the other study had 30 participants (54). One study utilized The IREX virtual reality instrument (54), and the other study (50) used Wii-based VR as balance training for the experimental group. In one study, the control group performed conventional therapy, and in the other study, patients were assigned to a VR, Tetrax, or control group (conventional therapy) (54). Both studies included male and female participants.

A range of outcome measures was used to measure balance including, TUG (50), BBS (50, 54), CoP (50), FRT (50), MBI (50), and FI (54). Details can be found in table 1.

2) Effect of VR training on balance control in subacute stroke patients

a) Main findings

Two pilot studies showed the effectiveness of VR on balance performance in the subacute phase of stroke (32,49). Three RCTs confirmed its effectiveness (47,53,55). However, in one RCT, no superiority of VR training to conventional therapy was seen (52). The positive effect of the VR program on balance control was seen in other two trials (48,56).

b) Characteristics of the included studies

Study sample sizes were generally small. Three studies had a sample size of fewer than 30 participants (47-49) and one study had over 50 participants (52). All studies consisted of male and female participants. Only one study evaluated the long-term effects of VR training on balance control in subacute stroke patients (53), which assessed balance control three months after training. However, the dropout rate for follow-up was high. Three studies used Wii-based VR training as a treatment for the experimental group (47,53,55),

	The outcome measure of balance									
Reference and	Participants	Intervention		Experi	mental	Cor	itrol	Study Type	Relevant findings	
country			Variable	Pre-ses- sion	Post-ses- sion	Pre-ses- sion	Post-ses- sion			
B.S. Rajaratnam <i>et al</i> (2013)(50)	19 stroke patients. EG (n:10) and	CG:One-hr conventional rehabilitation. EG:40 <i>min</i> of	TUG (Pre/post differences)	-2.201		- 2.201		RCT	After 15 sessions of rehabilitation, there was a substantial	
Singapore	CG (n:9). Onset period (days): EG:14.7 (7.5),	convention rehabilitation and 20 <i>min</i> self-directed	BBS (Pre/post differences)	-1.604		-1.604			difference in FRT scores between the EG and CG (P=0.017). In all	
	CG:15.2 (6.3) Age (yrs): EG:58.67 (8.62),CG:	VR balanced rehabilitation	VR balanced	CoP (Pre/post differences)	-0.552		-1.069			other outcome measures after intervention between the
	(8.62),003 65.33 (9.59) Gender:7M, 12F		FRT (Pre/post differences)	-2.803		-1.363			EG and CG, there were no statistically significant	
			MBI (Pre/post differences)	-2.207		-2.201			differences. FRT was significantly correlated (P=0.028) with BBS.	
Yoon Bum Song <i>et al</i> (2014)(54) South Korea	30 acute stroke patients VR (n:10), Tetrax (n:10), and CG (n:10). Onset period (days):	All patients received conventional balance training (5 times per week, at	BBS (mean±SD)	VG: 41.2±1.7 TG: 41.8±1.4	VG: 48.3±3.5 TG: 49.4±2.3	42.1±1.4	47.9±2.3	RCT	BBS and FI significantly improved after intervention in all three groups (p<0.05), but between the three	
VG:12.7±3.2 TG: 12.7±3.1 CG: 13.2±3. Age (yrs):VC 65.6±13.5, T 60.6±18.2, C 61.2±13.8	TG: 12.7±3.2, CG: 13.2±3.4 Age (yrs):VG: 65.6±13.5, TG: 60.6±18.2, CG: 61.2±13.8 Gender:16 M,	25 min per session). The VR group received additional VR treatment, 3 times/week, at 25 min per session, for 3 weeks. The Tetrax group: additional Tetrax treatment, 3 times/week, at 25 min/ session, for 3 weeks.	FI (mean±SD)	VG: 82.9±7.2 TG: 84.0±8.9	VG: 53.0±6.6 TG: 52.0±7.6	81.8±8.1	55.8±6.7		groups, significant differences were not seen.	

Table 1. Evidence of virtual reality (VR) on balance performance in acute stroke subjects

two studies used canoe VR (32,49), one study used X-box 360 Kinect (48), one study utilized motioncaptured software (52), and VR-based eccentric training with Eccentron was used for one study (56). Among eight studies, six used conventional therapy as a treatment for the control group. Patients in one study were allocated to each of two eccentric training groups: one using a slow velocity and one using a fast velocity (56). In the other study, participants were randomly assigned to the Nintendo Wii group or Bobath neurodevelopmental treatment (NDT) group (55). A range of outcome measures was used to measure balance including, TUG (47,49,52), BBS (47,49,53), and FRT (47,49,52). Details of each study can be found in table 2.

Scale, TUG: Timed Up and Go test, PASS: Postural Assessment Scale, CE: Eyes Closed OE: Eyes Open, 10MWT: 10m Walking Test, SBI: Static Balance Index, mFRT: modified Functional Reach Test, FIM: Functional Independence Measure, FAC: Functional Ambulation Category, LoS: Limit of Stability, BI: Barthel Index, SV: sway velocity, RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial, CT: Clinical Trial.

Table 2. Evidence of virtual reality (VR) on balance performance in subacute stroke subjects

				The outco	ome measure	of balance			
Reference and	Participants	Intervention		Experir	nental	Cont	rol	Study Type	Relevant findings
country			Variable	Pre-session	Post-ses- sion	Pre-session	Post-ses- sion	71 ·	- 3 *

Poursaeed F and Nokhostin Ansari N

Cont Table 2.

Myung- 10 patients Both groups: TIS(score) 14.0±0.7 16.8±1.3 Mo Lee with stroke. conventional (mean±SD)	12.6±1.7	13.6±1.7	RCT	TIS score
(2016)(49) EG (n:5) rehabilitation South and (30 <i>min</i> 2/day, Korea CG (n:5). 5/week), EG Onset had an period (mos): additional				significantly improved in the EG but not in the CG. The FRT result showed
EG: 30 <i>min</i> canoe 3.1±1.6, game-based FRT(<i>cm</i>) 20.4±3.5 22.4±3.9 CG: VR training (mean±SD) 3.3±1.1 program 3/ Age (yrs): week for EG: 1month 65.2±5.0, CG:	17.8±0.9	18.7±0.9		significant improvement in both groups. When the two groups were compared, TIS and FRT scores changes were
66.2±3.4 BBS(score) 41.8±4.2 46.2±4.3 Gender:5M, (mean±SD) 5F	38.8±3.7	41.2±2.9		statističally more in the EG than in the CG. BBS score showed significant improvement in both groups.
TUG(sec) 16.6±4.3 15.1±4.0 (mean±SD)	18.1±2.7	18.2±1.5		The TUG times significantly improved in the EG but not in the CG. When the two groups were compared, the changes in the BBS and TUG scores were statistically more in the EG than in the CG.
Trupti 28 patients EG: X BOX TIS 10.71±3.7 19.6±1.6 Kulkarni EG for 6 weeks (mean±SD) 10.71±3.7 19.6±1.6 et al EG for 6 weeks (mean±SD) 10.71±3.7 19.6±1.6 (2018)(48) (n: 15)and (30 minutes (mean±SD) 10.71±3.7 19.6±1.6 India CG per day (n:13). for 3 days 0 mset a week). period(mos): CT group EG: performed 3.06±2.49, mobility, CG: balance, and 3±1.732Age: trunk-specific 10.71±3.7 19.6±1.6	12.84±2.7	17.92±2.06	СТ	VR training using X box 360 is significantly more effective on the trunk and postural control in stroke patients compared to conventional physiotherapy.
EG: exercises PASS 16±5.60 30.8±3.91 48.9±10.65, for the same (mean±SD) CG: period. 50.38±8.08 Gender: 23 M,5 F	16.46±3.59	22±2.48		
Ayça Utkan Karasu et al (2018)23 patients with stroke.Both groups: conventional balanceBBS38.8 (6.9)week 4:48.9 (6.4), week 8:48.7 (4.7)(47) Turkeyand rehabilitation. CG (n:11).rehabilitation. for 2–3 h/ Onset l addition to6.9)6.4), week 8:48.7 (4.7)	39.1 (6.9)	week 4: 42.2 (6.4), week 8: 39.4 (5.7)	RCT	A significant improvement over time (time effect) was observed in all the measures
(days): conventional FRT (cm) 16.4 week 4: 25.2 CG:31 received (5.5) (5.5), week Age (yrs): 20 min of 8: 23.6 (5.4) EG:62.3 balance (11.79), exercise.5/ CG:64.1 week, for 1 (12.2) month, with	18.8 (3.3)	week 4: 22.2 (5.1), week 8: 20 (3.14)		except anteroposterior COP displacement with eyes closed, COP displacement during WSNS,
Gender: Wii Fit and TUG (s) 32.5 (21.2) week 4: 19.5 10 M,13 F Wii Balance (9.8) Week Board 8: 20.5 (8.3)	27.4 (15.0)	week 4: 24 (13.5), week 8: 29.6 (10.5)		and total COP displacement during weight shift. Group-time interaction was significant in the BBS, FRT, and
PASS 28.8 (4.3) Week 4: 32.5 (2.5), week 8: 32 (2.4)	27.9 (5.2)	Week 4: 30.4 (4.1), week 8: 29.2 (3.5)		postural sway parameters, excluding mediolateral COP displacement with eyes closed, and
SBI 426.2 (285.3) Week 4:369.3 (301.5), week 8: 337 (282.8)	412.4 (196.8)	Week 4: 314.2 (129.8), week 8: 399.7 (74.7)		FIMI scores. Both groups displayed significant improvement, the EG showed more improvement than the CG.

Cont Table 2.

Cont Table /	ζ.								
Myung Mo Lee <i>et al</i> (2018)(32) South Korea	30 patients with stroke. EG (n:15) and	All subjects: conventional rehabilitation program.	mFRT Forward (<i>cm</i>)	21.50±4.28	26.65±4.36	20.04±4.34	24.14±4.53	RCT	mFRT for all directions significantly improved in
	CG (n:15). Onset period (mos): EG: 3.43±1.34, CG:	ĒG: the VR canoe paddling training for 30 <i>min</i> each day,	mFRT Unaffected side(<i>cm</i>)	13.40±2.87	20.13±3.01	13.27±2.39	18.60±3.32		both groups (p<0.05). CoP, PL, and SV significantly decreased
	3.13±1.54 Age (yrs): EG: 61.80±6.80, CG: 61.33±8.44 Gender: 18 M,12 F	3 times per week, for 5 weeks.	mFRT Affected side(<i>cm</i>)	8.09±2.36	13.73±3.15	8.04±2.80	12.16±3.49		in both the eyes open and eyes closed condition EG (p<0.05). In the CG, only the SV when the
			EO-CoP PL(<i>cm</i>)	82.48±30.68	75.69±31.63	74.02±28.48	72.88±28.31		participant's eyes were open, was significantly decreased
			O-SV (cm/s)	2.78±1.05	2.42±0.94	2.58±0.96	2.50±0.96		(p<0.05).
			EC-CoP PL(<i>cm</i>)	99.88±38.62	92.97±38.10	87.17±36.04	84.25±32.99		
			EC-SV (<i>cm/</i> s)	3.44±1.32	3.22±1.28	3.08±1.22	2.99±1.14		
			Activities- specific Balance Confidence (score)	91.4	Week 6: 81.8 Week 12: 89.4	85.5	Week 6: 93.5 Week 12: 93.3		
Seung Kyu Park <i>et al</i> (2016)(56) South Korea	30 stroke patients. Two groups of 15 participants. Onset period (mos):Group 1:5.4±1.4, Group 2: 5.3±1.2 Age:group 1:61.0±4.2, group 2: 60.9±4.2 Gender: 16 M,14 F	Group1:slow velocity and Group2:fast velocity. The VR-based eccentric training was performed by the patients for 30 <i>min</i> once a day, 5 days/week, for 8 weeks using an Eccentron system.	LOS(<i>cm2</i>) (mean±SD)	Group1: 90.5±9.2	168.8±9.1	Group2: 91.2±9.0	147.6±7.2	RCT	VR-based eccentric training using a slow velocity is effective for improving balance ability in stroke patients.
Giovanni Morone <i>et al</i> (2014) (53) Italy	50 stroke patients. EG (n:25) and CG (n:25). Onset period (days):	EG: Wii Fit (12 sessions of each 20 <i>min</i> , 3 times/week for1month). CG:	BI FAC	-				RCT	Wii Fit was more effective compared to usual balance therapy in improving
	EG: 61.00±36.47, CG: 41.65±36.89 Age (yrs): EG: 58.36±9.62, CG: 61.96±10.31	usual balance training (20 min 3 times/week for1month). Both groups: treated with conventional	BBS 10MVT	-					balance (BBS: 53 versus 48, p=0.004) and independence in activity of daily living (BI:98 versus 93, p=0.021).
	Gender: -	hysical therapy (40 <i>min</i> 2 times/day).							

Cont Table 2.

John Cannell <i>et al</i> (2017)(52) Australia	Cannell with stroke. et al EG (n:35) (2017)(52) and Australia CG (n:38). Onset	Both groups: functional retraining and	FRT (<i>cm</i>)	13.8 (2.6)	17.9 (1.4)	17.1 (1.8)	20.4 (1.3)	RCT	There were no differences between the
	period (days): EG:26 (27), CG:19 (13) Age (yrs):	individualized programs for up to an <i>hr</i> , on weekdays for 8–40 sessions.	Lateral reach (more affected) (<i>cm</i>)	8.2 (1.9)	11.8 (1.6)	9.3 (1.3)	12.8 (1.1)		rehabilitation units except in lateral reach (less affected side) (P=0.04)
	(10.4), motiva CG:74.8 VR a (11.9) novv Gender: gestu 38 M,41 F contro interac	EG: motivating VR and novel gesture- controlled interactive motion	Lateral reach (less affected) (<i>cm</i>)	8.9 (2.0)	11.1 (1.8)	12.1 (1.4)	13.6 (1.2)		
		capture software. Both groups:2 sessions/ day.	Sitting balance (number)	3.7 (0.2)	3.9 (0.2)	3.6 (0.1)	3.9 (0.1)		
		uuy.	TUG (seconds) (n=50)	28.6 (6.1)	27.6 (6.1)	26.7 (3.9)	22.9 (5.3)		
			Step test more affected (number in 15 seconds)	4.0 (1.3)	5.8 (0.9)	5.3 (1.0)	7.2 (0.6)		
			Step test less affected (number in 15 seconds)	4.4 (1.3)	6.4 (1.1)	5.6 (1.0)	6.5 (0.8)		
Tülay Tarsuslu Şimşek <i>et al</i> (2015) (55) Turkey	42 stroke patients. Nintendo Wii group (n:20) and Bobath neurodevelo priental treatment (n:22). Onset period (days):EG: 50.6±15.04, CG: 59.9±30.99 Age(yrs): EG: 54.15±20.29, CG: 61.5±11.63 Gender: 29 M,13 F	Both groups: ten weeks (45–60 <i>min</i> / day, 3 days/ week). Nintendo Wii group 5 games. Bobath group: therapy program that included upper extremity activities, strength, balance gait, and functional training.	FIM (mean±SD)	96.80±22.33	111.7±15.06	101.09± 21.69	107.09± 19.24	RCT	FIM motorsub- parameter which evaluates transfer and locomotion which significantly influences balance and mobility level significantly improved.

Note: M: Male, F: Female, EG: Experimental Group, CG: Control Group, TG: Tetrax Group, VG: Virtual Group, BBS: Berg Balance Scale, CoP: Center of Pressure, TUG: Timed Up and Go test, MBI: Modified Barthel Index, FI: Falling Index, FRT: Functional Reach Test, RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial.

3) Effects of VR training on balance control in chronic stroke patients

a) Main findings

Studies regarding the use of VR for improving balance control in chronic stroke survivors were in favor of its effectiveness when compared to conventional therapy (33-37,40,42,43,57-59). In one study, VR was compared with Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF)(60). In three studies, the effectiveness of VR treadmill training was assessed (38,45,61,62). VRbased telerehabilitation effectiveness of VR training was investigated in one study (22). One study used Speed-Interactive Pedaling Training using VR to see its effectiveness in improving balance performance (63). And finally, two studies were case-series, and there was no control group designed in the study (41,44). In one study (51), the patients were assigned to two groups based on the time since stroke (<6 months) or chronic (>6 months) to examine the effect of VR on balance. The findings of this study suggest that the VR provided to the patients was beneficial for both chronic and subacute groups. Details of each study are demonstrated in table 3.

b) Characteristics of the included studies

Reference and coun-	Participants	Intervention		The out	come measure c	of balance		Study Type	Relevant findings
try			Variable	Exper	imental	Cor	ntrol		
				Pre-session	Post-session	Pre-session	Post-ses- sion		
Shih-Hsiang Ciou <i>et al</i> (2015)(46) Taiwan	2patients with stroke. Onset period (mos): 6Mon,11Mon Age(yrs):	Three 30- <i>min</i> sessions/ week for 3 weeks	Case 1 BBS Case 2 BBS	36point 42point	43 point 47 point	-	-	СТ	Only the patient who had a recent stroke benefited significantly.
	49,39 Gender: 2 M		Case 1 MAS Case 2 MAS	6point 16point	12 point 17 point	-	-		5 ,
			COP Case1	OE(-16.20 ,-1.97), CE (-13.80,3.35)	OE (2.55,-0.36), CE (13.48,-22.41)	-	-		
			COP Case2	OE (27.10,93.99), CE (12.23,76.06)	OE (22.89,56.71), CE (23.42,59.19)	-	-		
Gui Bin Song <i>et al</i> (2015)(64) South Korea	40 patients with stroke. Ergometer training group (n:20) and virtual reality group (n:20). Onset period (mos):	VRG: training with the Xbox Kinect for 30 min/session, 5/week, for 2 months, ETG: ergometer	Forward LOS (<i>mm</i>) (mean±SD)	2732.9±3137.1	3311.7±3786.5	5670.8±4291.1	4322.6±3565.5	RCT	Both groups showed significant improvement in the weight distribution ratio on the paralyzed side, anterior LOS, posterior LOS, and TUG,
	EG: 14.75±6.06, CG: 14.30±3.40	EG: bicycle training 4.75±6.06, 30 min/session, CG: 5/week, 4.30±3.40 for Age(yrs): 2months.	Backward LOS(<i>mm</i>)	2072.7±2050.4	1895.9±2097.5	3971.7±2794.3	2889.7±2769.7		and 10- <i>m</i> walking times after the intervention (p<0.05). When the
	EG: 51.37±40.6, CG: 50.10±7.83 Gender: 22 M, 18 F		TUG(s) (mean±SD)	21.17±7.7	21.9±7.9	16.6±4.7	19.5±7.5		post-intervention improvements of the two groups were compared, the VRG demonstrated more significant improvements in weight distribution ratio on the paralyzed side, anterior LOS, posterior LOS, and TUG.
Jinhwa Jung <i>et al</i> (2012)(45) South Korea	21 stroke patients. EG (n:11) and CG (n:10) Onset period(mon):	EG:VR treadmill training 30 <i>min</i> /day, 5/week, for 3 weeks.	TUG (sec) (means± SD)	21.9±3.5	19.2±4.5	23.8±4.9	23.0±5.2	RCT	Improvements in balance and self-efficacy in the virtual reality treadmill training group
	EG: 12.6±3.3, CG: 15.4±4.7 Age(yrs): EG: 60.5±8.6, CG: 63.6±5.1 Gender: 13M, 8 F	CG: treadmill training on the same schedule.	ABC scale(%) (means± SD)	43.3±5.7	52.8±6.5	47.0±5.0	51.3±5.6		were significantly greater compared with the CG(p<0.05). Significant increases in balance and balance self-efficacy were seen in both groups after training (p<0.05).
Nikita Girishbhai Shobhanal <i>et al</i> (2020)(58) India	30 subjects with stroke. Conventional Physical Therapy group(n:15) and EG (n:15) Onset period: Age:- Gender:-	EG: exposed to two software for XBOX 360 Kinect. CG performed ROM exercises, Balance training, and Gait training: 3days/week for one <i>hr.</i>	BBS (mean (SD)	43.73 (4.11)	50.13 (3.70)	44.06 (4.19)	48.6 (3.35)	RCT	The VR group showed significant improvement in BBS compared with the CG.

Table 3. Evidence of Virtual Reality (VR) on balance performance in chronic stroke subjects

Poursaeed F and Nokhostin Ansari N

Cont Table 3.

Irene Cortés- Pérez <i>et al</i>	3 stroke patients. Onset	Patient 1:25 sessions of	BBS	28	34	Case 2: 15 Case 3: 8	Case 2:18 Case 3:7	Case Series	The two patients receiving any				
(2020)(44) Spain	period: P1:6, P2:9, P3:10	immersive VR (3sessions/week of 45 <i>min</i> each)	Tinetti	11	19	Case 2: 7 Case 3: 6	Case 2:9 Case 3:6		of the treatments showed an improvement				
	(mos) Age: P1:45,	for 2 months. Patient 2:	SVV	5.75±1.25	4±1.2	Case 2: 5.2±2.7	Case 2: 4.8±1.8		in balance compared to the untreated				
	P2:50, P3: 53 Gender:M	equivalent CT (3 sessions/ week of one				Case 3: 3.7±2	Case 3: 3.4±1.67		patient. In comparison to CT, the				
		<i>hr</i> each for 2 months).	Romberg	427	398	Case 2: 192 Case 3:-	Case 2: 226 Case 3:-		higher effect of immersive VR in the				
		patient 3:no intervention.	ABC	24	32	Case 2:13 Case 3:4	Case 2:15 Case 3:4		improvement of balance and a reduction of fall risk				
			FES-I	47	40	Case 2:59 Case 3:61	Case 2:54 Case 3:60		was seen due to the active upright work during the VR				
			TGUGT	29	23	Case 2:33 Case 3:-	Case 2:31 Case 3:-		intervention.				
Kyeongjin Lee	42 patients with stroke.	EG: cycle training with SIPT	EO-MLS (<i>mm/s</i>)	3.95±1.27	3.00±0.82	3.75±1.21	3.34±1.03	RCT	The changes in the static sitting balance				
(2019)(63) South Korea	EG (n:21) and CG (n:21).	for 1). 40 <i>min</i> /day, 5 5days/week, os): in 6 weeks, in addition to	for 40 <i>min</i> /day, 5days/week, in 6 weeks,	for 40 <i>min</i> /day,	for 40 <i>min</i> /day,	for 40 <i>min</i> /day,	EO-APS (<i>mm/s</i>)	5.85±1.41	4.60±1.38	5.89±1.18	5.20±1.30		ability, the speed of
	Onset period (mos): EG: 14.81±7.30,			EO-VM (<i>mm/</i> s 2	5.06±2.18	3.71±1.68	4.74±2.04	4.12±1.93		medial and lateral sway, and the speed of the			
	CG: 16.48±7.13	therapy. CG: cycle training	EC-MLS (<i>mm/s</i>)	3.95±1.27	2.85±0.66	3.75±1.21	3.24±0.93		anterior and posterior				
	Age (yrs): EG: 61.67±8.42,	without SIPT and conventional	EC-APS (<i>mm/s</i>)	5.85±1.41	4.73±1.43	5.89±1.18	5.20±1.30		sway improved significantly after				
	CG: 64.24±10.83 Gender:27 M,15 F	therapy.	EC-VM (<i>mm/s</i> 2)	4.18±1.30	2.79±1.32	4.03±1.13	3.27±1.29		intervention in both groups				
	IVI, IS F		mFRT- forward (<i>mm</i>)	302.27±113.40	328.41±108.52	274.97±122.87	279.15±126.13		regardless of				
			mFRT-non- ffected	175.23±48.60	197.89±54.79	158.75±61.74	161.13±63.61		vision (p<0.05). However,				
			(<i>mm</i>) mFRT-	88.72±24.24	108.07±33.26	84.31±37.48	85.62±38.88		EG showed a more significant				
			affected (<i>mm</i>) TIS (score)	12.33±1.59	14.38±2.09	12.24±1.89	13.14±0.48		improvement compared to the CG (p<0.05)				
									The mFRT for all directions				
									increased significantly post-				
									treatment in both groups				
									(p<0.05). However				

IRANIAN MEDICAL COUNCIL 13

Volume 7 Number 1 Winter 2024

Cont Table 3.

Hear Am exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp exp										
Yo-Soon Bang et al (2016)(22) South Korea 40 stroke patients, GG (20), GG (20),	<i>et al</i> (2015)(38)	stroke patients. EG (n:10) and CG (n:7). Onset period: - Age: -	conventional physical therapy for 1hr/day, 5/week, for 1month. EG: community- based VR scene exposure combined with treadmill training for 30 min/day, 3/week, for 4weeks. CG: conventional physical therapy, including muscle strengthening, balance training, and indoor and outdoor gait training on the same	(mean(SD))					RCT	postural sway path length in EG significantly improved (p<0.05), whereas the CG demonstrated no significant improvement in anteroposterior postural sway path length. The mediolateral postural sway path length did not have a significant difference between the two groups. However, the EG showed a significantly improved total postural sway path length (p<0.05). The total postural sway path length had no significant difference in the CG. The postural sway speed improved significantly in the EG (p<0.05), but not in the CG. The EG demonstrated a great improvement in multiple balance measures (p<0.05) compared with the CG, which advocates that CVRTT training can improve the static balance ability in
CG:63.245.4 Gender:- treadmils for 40 min. Imre Cikajilo et al (2020)(37) Slovenia 20 acute and stroke CC: conventional conventional econventional and cG (n:10). FSST (13.60) 10.24 (2.44) 12.75 (12.10) 14.50 (13.78) RCT EG showed significant improvement (13.78) Slovenia and stroke tweek of balance TUG 0.56 9.56 (10.20) 15.18 (10.20) 12.17 (0.674) but not TUG, Practically, no statistically significant improvement (13.15) CG (n:10). Onset gene period (mos): EG.4, CG:7.4 Age (yrs): EG.4, CG:7.4 CTSIB eyes 31.39 (dosed 38.69 (19.14) 29.13 (14.50) 34.77 (16.61) and differences EG.4, CG:7.4 Age (yrs): EG.5, S1.815.5 STOLL eyes open 18.21 (19.30) 23.74 (19.30) 18.82 (20.37) 20.33 performate eportoment ROM EC; S1.815.5 performate eyes open (19.30) (19.01) (19.83) (20.57) with eyes open ROM EC; S1.815.5 STORL 21.53 (20.57) STOLL eyes store 18.11 (20.42) 4.62 (20.20) 2.20 (2.57) 2.35 (2.64) sROM EO, STOLR, EO, and and CG were closed STORL 21.53 (3.37) 19.33 (20.57) CG: SROM EO, STORL CG were closed 11.80 (19.40) 11.82.1 (18.40) 11.82.1 (18.40) 2.82 (2.20) <td< td=""><td><i>et al</i> (2016)(62)</td><td>patients. EG (n:20) and CG (20). Onset period (mos): EG:30.4±5.4, CG:31.6±7.4 Age (yrs):</td><td>40 min exercise program 3/week for 8weeks. EG:Wii board balance system for 40 min.</td><td>weight- bearing(%) (M±SD) Anterior/ posterior weight-bearing</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>RCT</td><td>Significant differences in both groups after completing the program</td></td<>	<i>et al</i> (2016)(62)	patients. EG (n:20) and CG (20). Onset period (mos): EG:30.4±5.4, CG:31.6±7.4 Age (yrs):	40 min exercise program 3/week for 8weeks. EG:Wii board balance system for 40 min.	weight- bearing(%) (M±SD) Anterior/ posterior weight-bearing					RCT	Significant differences in both groups after completing the program
et al (2020)(37) and stroke Tweek of balance TUG 9.56 (13.78) (13.78) improvement in FSST Slovenia stroke patients. balance training TUG 9.56 15.18 12.17 but not TUG. eG (n0) and and EG (n0) and EG (n0). CTSIB eyes 38.3 43.52 43.50 45.00 statistically significant differences Period (mos): exergaming. CTSIB eyes 31.39 36.69 29.13 34.77 and and CG were EG:4, CG: game exergaming. CTSIB eyes 31.39 36.69 29.13 34.77 and and EG:5 game closed (19.14) (15.01) (18.80) (20.57) with eyes open (ROM EG CG were EG EG EG EG STOLL 18.21 22.00 2.35 sROM EO, STOLK 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 CTSIB EO, Statistics STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 CTSIB EO, Significant d		CG:63.2±5.4	treadmills							
Slovenia stroke balance TUG 9.56 15.18 12.17 but not TUG. patients. training (2.69) (10.20) (6.74) Practically. no statistically.gon statistically.gon differences and EG.1week CTSIB eyes 38.83 43.52 43.50 45.00 differences Onset game open (13.15) (4.68) (4.74) (0.00) between Oriset game CTSIB eyes 31.39 36.69 29.13 34.77 and EG.4 closed (19.14) (17.52) (18.16) (16.81) CG CG EG.4 evergaming. Cosed (19.10) (19.01) (19.83) (20.57) with eyes open (ROM 50.347.9, EG eyes open (19.30) (3.16) (3.40) STOL(R)(E) and Gci STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 crash and Gci dender: STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 <td>et al</td> <td>and</td> <td>1week of</td> <td>FSST</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>RCT</td> <td>improvement</td>	et al	and	1week of	FSST					RCT	improvement
and EG: Iweek CTSIB eyes 38.83 43.52 43.50 45.00 differences O G (n:10). of multiple- open open (13.15) (4.68) (4.74) (0.00) between Onset game period (mos): exergaming. CTSIB eyes 31.39 36.69 29.13 34.77 and CG (r.74) closed (19.14) (17.52) (18.16) (16.81) CG were CG:7.4 STOLL 18.21 23.74 18.82 20.33 performed S0347.9, closed (19.30) (19.01) (19.83) (20.57) with eyes open (ROM S0347.9, closed (1.18) (5.01) (3.16) (3.40) STOL(R)LEO, Gender: - - - - and 15 M, 5 F STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 CTSIB EO). eyes open (20.42) (19.40) (16.36) (18.07) significant differences EG <	(2020)(37) Slovenia	stroke patients.	balance training	TUG						but not TUG. Practically, no
period (mos): exergaming. CTSIB eyes 31.39 36.69 29.13 34.77 and EG:4, closed (19.14) (17.52) (18.16) (16.81) CG were GC3.7.4 found in tests performed found in tests performed EG: eyes open (19.00) (19.01) (19.83) (20.57) with eyes open (ROM 50.337.9, EG: sROM EQ. (1.61) (5.01) (3.16) (3.40) STOL(PLO, CG. Gender: and CTSIB EQ. and 15 M, 5 F STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 CTSIB EQ. eyes open (20.42) (19.40) (16.36) (18.07) Significant differences between		and CG (n:10).	EG:1week of multiple-							differences between
Age (yrs): STOLL 18.21 23.74 18.82 20.33 performed (ROM with eyes open (ROM 50.347.9, CG: 50.347.9, CG: STOLL eyes 1.61 4.62 2.20 2.35 sROM EO, STOL(R)L EO, and and 115 M, 5 F Gender: STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 CTSIB EO). Significant differences between the EG (1.48) STORL eyes 3.92 2.82 1.29 1.16 the EG (2.27) and CTSIB EO). Significant differences between the EG (1.48) STORL eyes 3.92 2.82 1.29 1.16 the EG (2.042) ROM 44.33 43.75 45.00 45.00 for and and cG were (2.12) ROM 6.79 41.49 26.46 40.29 STORL and and and eyes open (1.10) eyes open (13.66) (11.10) (19.85) (9.94) tests,both performed with closed eyes open (17.02) ROM eyes 19.65 18.96 8.57 9.67		period (mos): EG:4,								and CG were
CG: STOLL eyes 1.61 4.62 2.20 2.35 sROM EO, 51.8±15.5 closed (1.18) (5.01) (3.16) (3.40) STOLL EO, Gender: and 15 M, 5 F STORL 21.53 23.87 13.31 19.33 CTSIB EO). significant differences eyes open (20.42) (19.40) (16.36) (18.07) Significant differences between STORL eyes 3.92 2.82 1.29 1.16 the EG closed (7.09) (2.02) (2.27) (1.29) and CG were closed (7.09) (2.02) (2.27) 11.29 and CG were Gowere (2.12) (3.95) (0.00) (0.00) in sROM eyes open (2.12) (3.95) (0.00) 9.41.49 26.46 40.29 STORL eyes closed (13.66) (11.10) (19.85) (9.94) tests,both performed sROM 34.56 42.11 31.		Age (yrs): EG:								performed with eyes open (ROM
15 M, 5 F STORL eyes open 21.53 (20.42) 23.87 (19.40) 13.31 (16.36) 19.33 (18.07) CTSIB EO). Significant differences between STORL eyes closed 3.92 (7.09) 2.82 (2.02) 1.29 (2.27) 1.16 (1.29) the EG and CG were ROM eyes open 44.33 (2.12) 43.75 (3.95) 45.00 (0.00) found only (0.00) found only in sROM and CG were ROM eyes open 6.79 (13.66) 41.49 (11.10) 26.46 (19.85) 40.29 (9.94) STORL tests,both performed SROM eyes open 34.56 (17.02) 42.11 (8.46) 31.90 (21.12) 34.03 (18.07) eyes.		CG: 51.8±15.5								sROM EO, STOL(R)L EO,
STORL eyes 3.92 2.82 1.29 1.16 the EG and closed (7.09) (2.02) (2.27) (1.29) and CG were CG were (2.12) (3.95) (0.00) (0.00) in sROM ROM 6.79 41.49 26.46 40.29 STORL eyes closed (13.66) (11.10) (19.85) (9.94) tests,both performed sROM 34.56 42.11 31.90 34.03 eyes. eyes. sROM eyes 19.65 18.96 8.57 9.67 9.67										CTSIB EO). Significant differences
ROM 44.33 43.75 45.00 45.00 found only eyes open (2.12) (3.95) (0.00) (0.00) in sROM ROM 6.79 41.49 26.46 40.29 STORL eyes closed (13.66) (11.10) (19.85) (9.94) tests,both performed sROM 34.56 42.11 31.90 34.03 eyes. eyes open (17.02) (8.46) (21.12) (18.07) eyes.										the EG and
ROM 6.79 41.49 26.46 40.29 STORL eyes closed (13.66) (11.10) (19.85) (9.94) tests,both performed sROM 34.56 42.11 31.90 34.03 with closed eyes open (17.02) (8.46) (21.12) (18.07) eyes.										found only in sROM
SROM 34.55 42.11 31.90 34.03 eyes. eyes open (17.02) (8.46) (21.12) (18.07) eyes. sROM eyes 19.65 18.96 8.57 9.67										STORL tests,both performed
uuseu (13.10) (13.30) (13.42)				sROM eyes closed	19.65 (19.16)	18.96 (19.10)	8.57 (13.36)	9.67 (13.42)		

Cont Table 3.

BOIL NOT 00 and COUNT NOT 00 BOIL N	Cont Table 3									
group (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (r.2) (<i>et al</i> (2020)(51)	patients. Subacute group (n:31)	Rehabilitation System treatment	(mean(SD))	24.65 (5.72)	(5.69)	18.71 (8.04)	(7.63)	СТ	provided to the patients was
Bits Units Page 1 Page 2 A 104 A 102 A 104 A 102 2010 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 100000 1000000 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		group (n:28). Onset period (mos):	for 15sessions, 5days/week			104.8(16.8)				both chronic and subacute
Bit State Work Lee (CD 1) (3) (CD 1) (3) (C		2.08 (1.34), chronic group: 26.31 (33.37)	for all participants. Also,							
Image: state in the s		group: 60.02 (17.58), chronic	received conventional							
ef af Souri Yorke Souri Yorke S		Gender:								
et al. (2013) (43) South Korea South Korea Balterits. et al. (2013) (43) (2014) (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (10) Chronic (1	<i>et al</i> (2015)(36)	patients. EG (n:10) and CG (n:10). Onset period (mos): EG:11.14, CG:11.63 Age (yrs): EG:64.60, CG:78.10 Gender:11	environment system ankle exercise, and CG: watched a video. Both groups: interventions for 30 <i>min</i> /day, 5/week		24.59±14.42	19.09±12.73	35.96±16.50	34.74±16.20	RCT	significantly improved in EG (p<0.05) and there were no significant changes after the intervention
Orient fr Anterior 2.891.15 2.159.01 2.272.072 of vision. Aper (Vrs): 5dayaWeek for Sway 3.121.14 2.091.05 2.091.09 3.021.37 The CS showed an increase in the segment of the	<i>et al</i> (2013)(43)	stroke patients. EG (n:12) and	participants received a conventional rehabilitation	Sway (mm/s)	(EO) 3.45±1.60		(EO) 3.59±2.38		RCT	of right and left sway and anterior and posterior sway lowered significantly
CC: B3.724.7 Gender: 6H,16 F The EG (additionally practiced (mm2/s) 6H,16 F Velocity additionally practiced (mm2/s) for for thrends 2.89:1.70 (EC) 1.88:1.22 (EC) 2.69:0.94 (EC) 2.139.71 (H44.40.01 Velocity (FC) Velocity wisher (FC) Velocity wisher (FC) Velocity (FC)		Onset period:- Age (yrs): EG:	Onset for period:- 1hr Age (yrs): 5days/week EG: for 60.6±8.8, 4weeks. CG: The EG 63.7±4.7 additionally Gender: practiced 6M,16 F VFT 30 min of sessions,	Posterior Sway	(EO) 3.12±1.14		(EO) 2.98±1.29			of vision. The CG showed an increase in the speed of sway,
IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndex		CG: 63.7±4.7 Gender:		Moment (<i>mm2/s</i>)	(EO) 3.83±2.59		(EO) 4.14±4.01			Velocity moment lowered significantly in the EG (p<0.05) while there was no significant increase in
Ki Hun Cho ef al ef al (2012)(3)22 Stroke patients.Both groups: standard (mm/s)PSV-apeo (mm/s)7.37 (2.20)6.20 (1.70)6.01 (1.85)5.64 (1.57) standardRCTGreater improvement on dynamic balanceKi Hun Cho ef al (2012)(3)22 Stroke patients.Both groups: standard (mm/s)PSV-apeo (mm/s)9.97 (2.69)9.18 (1.75)9.67 (2.72)9.14 (2.31)on dynamic on dynamic balance (BBS and TUG)South Korea period (mos): CG: 6 StandardBoth groups: for (mm/s)PSV-apeo (mm/s)9.97 (2.69)9.18 (1.75)9.67 (2.72)9.14 (2.31)on dynamic balance (BBS and TUG)Onset CG: G: CG: G: Ge devels.For for for (mm/s)11.40 (2.24)11.22 (2.06)9.92 (1.28)9.82 (1.20)was seen compared to the CG, thut Nds, ge devels.20:52:00:112.54 (2.59), CG: G: G: G: 52:50:50:50:50:50:50:50:50:6014.00 (2.41)11.22 (2.06)9.92 (1.28)9.82 (1.20)was seen compared to the CG, thut Nds, the CG, (BS and TUG)20:52:00:112.63 (2.54)EG:VRBT FSV-milecPSV-milec for (for (for)/ and min/day, G: 62:6 (8.35), 33 min/day, G: 63.13 (6.87) G: 64 (4.31)PSV-milec for for and16.78 (2.25)15.50 (3.59)14.41 (4.08)14.12 (4.01)but not static balance in both groups.Nildo Manoel d disiva Ribeiro ef al (2015)(59)30 stroke essionsPatients received (Gintergroup CG; G: 52.70Patients for for <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>for</td><td>Anterior (<i>mm</i>)</td><td>313.5±118.5</td><td>341.1±126.</td><td>307.2±126.6</td><td>310.2±126.7</td><td></td><td>vision. Anterior and lateral reach was significantly</td></t<>			for	Anterior (<i>mm</i>)	313.5±118.5	341.1±126.	307.2±126.6	310.2±126.7		vision. Anterior and lateral reach was significantly
et al (2012)(33)EG (n:11) rehabilitation program Oriset EG: CG: G: 12.54 (2.58), CG: G: 63.13 (6.87) CG: 56 (3.5), CG: 61 (11)standard (mm/s)(mm/s) 9.97 (2.69)9.18 (1.75) 9.67 (2.72)9.14 (2.31)improvement in the EG on dynamic balance (BBS and TUG)Nildo Manoel da Silva Ribeiro et al (2015)(59)30 stroke et al.Patients received for (mm/s)PSV-apec (mm/s)9.97 (2.69) 9.18 (1.75)9.67 (2.72) 9.67 (2.72)9.14 (2.31)improvement in the EG on dynamic balance in the CG, (BBS and TUG)Visition CG: 63 (3.687) CG: 63.13 (6.87) CG: 61 (3.16,87) CG: 61 (3.16,87)For 6 weeks.PSV-mlec (mm/s)11.40 (2.24) 11.40 (2.25)11.50 (3.59) 14.41 (4.08)14.12 (4.01)Was seen compared to the CG, balance in both groups.Nildo Manoel da Silva Ribeiro et al (2015)(59)30 stroke et al (mst)Patients received for (Balance)Fugl-Meyer (Balance)12.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons)11.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons)RCT (A significant difference was observed between both groups per and post-intervention in treatment sessions variable.Nildo Manoel da Silva Ribeiro et al (mos): EG: CG: 60 4 (4.41) Age (yrs): EG: CG: 60 4 (4.41) Age (yrs): EG: CG: CG: CG: 60 4 (4.41) Age (yrs): EG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: CG: 				Lateral (<i>mm</i>)	181.0±55.7	202.9±66.1	161.5±76.5	162.6±74.0		EG (p<0.05) and was not significant
South Korea and CG (n:11). Denset period (mos): EG: program for (mm/s) PSV-apec (mm/s) 9.97 (2.69) 9.18 (1.75) 9.67 (2.72) 9.14 (2.31) on dynamic balance (BBS and TUG) VEG: for (mm/s) for (mm/s) for (mm/s) 11.40 (2.24) 11.22 (2.06) 9.92 (1.28) 9.82 (1.20) was seen compared to the CG, 12.63 (2.54) EG:VRBT PSV-mleo 11.40 (2.24) 15.50 (3.59) 14.41 (4.08) 14.12 (4.01) but not static balance in both groups. 65.26 (8.35), CG: for (mm/s) for (for (63.13 (6.87)) 6 weeks. BBS 39.09 (5.66) 43.09 (4.80) 41.09 (4.01) 43.90 (4.66) 40.66) Nildo Manoel 30 stroke Patients Fugl-Meyer (Balance) 12.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) 11.9 (0.42) 19.08 (4.52) 19.08 (4.52) Nildo Manoel 30 stroke Patients Fugl-Meyer (Balance) 12.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) 11.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) 11.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) RCT A significant difference was observed between both groups pre and post-intervention in terms of the following Fugl-Meyer balance variable. 66: CG: CG:<	et al	patients.	standard		7.37 (2.20)	6.20 (1.70)	6.01 (1.85)	5.64 (1.57)	RCT	improvement
EG: 5sessions/week PSV-mleo 11.40 (2.24) 11.22 (2.06) 9.92 (1.28) 9.82 (1.20) was seen compared to the CG, the		and CĠ (n:11). Onset	program for		9.97 (2.69)	9.18 (1.75)	9.67 (2.72)	9.14 (2.31)		on dynamic balance
12.63 (2.54) EG·VRBT for (mm/s) PSV-mlec (mm/s) 16.78 (2.25) 15.50 (3.59) 14.41 (4.08) 14.12 (4.01) but not static balance in both groups. EG: 30 min/day, 65.26 (8.35), Gender: 14 M, 8 F 31 (6.87) 6 weeks. BBS (score) 39.09 (5.66) 43.09 (4.80) 41.09 (4.01) 43.90 (4.06) but not static balance in both groups. Nido Manoel da Silva Ribeiro et al (2015) (59) 6 weeks. Fugl-Meyer (Balance) 12.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) 11.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) RCT A significant difference was observed between both groups pre and post-intervention in terms of the following Fugl-Meyer variable. Brazil CG: 60.4 (44.1) Age (yrs): EG: 53.7 (6.1), CG: 2months. So for Zimmes, Simple. Fugl-Meyer balance variable. Fugl-Meyer balance variable. So stoke variable. Patients variable. RCT A significant difference was observed between both groups pre and post-intervention in terms of the following Fugl-Meyer balance variable. So stoke variable. Patients variable. So stoke variable. So stoke variable. So stoke		EG: 12.54 (2.58),	5sessions/week for		11.40 (2.24)	11.22 (2.06)	9.92 (1.28)	9.82 (1.20)		was seen compared to
65.26 (8.35), CG: 3times/week for BBS (score) 39.09 (5.66) 43.09 (4.80) 41.09 (4.01) 43.90 (4.06) 63.13 (6.87) Gender: 6 weeks. Fugle TUG (sec) 21.74 (3.41) 20.40 (3.19) 19.60 (4.42) 19.08 (4.52) Nildo Manoel da Silva Ribeiro et al 30 stroke EG (n:15) Patients received Brazil Fugle-Meyer (Balance) 12.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) 11.9 (1.8) (intergroup comparisons) RCT A significant difference was observed between both groups pre and post-intervention in terms of the following Fugl-Meyer balance variable. Brazil CG (n:15). sessions CG: 50.4 (44.1) Age (yrs): EG: 53.7 (6.1), CG: - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		12.63 (2.54) Age (yrs):	EG:VRBT for		16.78 (2.25)	15.50 (3.59)	14.41 (4.08)	14.12 (4.01)		but not static balance in
Gender: 14 M, 8 F Nildo Manoel 30 stroke Patients received Fugl-Meyer 12.9 (1.8) 11.9 (1.8) Ribeiro et al EG (n:15) 1-hr (2015)(59) and treatment Brazil CG (n:15) sessions Onset twice/week period (mos): for EG: 2months. 42.1 (26.9), CG: Gei, CG: 60.4 (44.1) Age (yrs): EG: 53.7 (6.1), CG:		65.26 (8.35), CG:	3times/week		39.09 (5.66)	43.09 (4.80)	41.09 (4.01)	43.90 (4.06)		both groups.
da Silva patients. received (Balance) (intergroup (intergroup Ribeiro et al EG (n:15) 1-hr comparisons) observed between (2015)(59) and treatment both groups pre and Brazil CG (n:15). sessions opst-intervention in Onset twice/week twice/week terms of the following period (mos): for Fugl-Meyer balance CG: 2months. variable. G0: 42.1 (26.9), cG: CG: 53.7 (6.1), CG:		Gender:	6 weeks.	TUG (sec)	21.74 (3.41)	20.40 (3.19)	19.60 (4.42)	19.08 (4.52)		
Gender: 11 M,19 F	da Silva Ribeiro <i>et al</i> (2015)(59)	patients. EG (n:15) and CG (n:15). Onset period (mos): EG: 42.1 (26.9), CG: 60.4 (44.1) Age (yrs): EG: 53.7 (6.1), CG: 52.8 (8.6) Gender:	received 1-hr treatment sessions twice/week for		(intergroup		(intergroup		RCT	difference was observed between both groups pre and post-intervention in terms of the following Fugl-Meyer balance

Cont	Table	3	

Cont Table 3	3.								
Taesung In <i>et al</i> (2016)(42)	25 patients with stroke. EG(n:13)	Both groups received a conventional	BBS(score) (mean ±SD)	45.46±4.12	49.08±2.72	44.75±3.02	46.08±2.97	RCT	BBS in both the EG and the CG showed
South Korea	and CG (n:12).	rehabilitation program	FRT(<i>mm</i>) (mean±SD)	194.16±58.89	200.83±58.83	197.10±71.07	196.13±70.90		significant improvements
	Onset period(mos): EG:	for 30 <i>min.</i> The VRRT	TUG(sec)) (mean±SD)	21.82±5.70	18.01±3.70	20.39±4.11	19.30±3.72		and significantly improved in the EG
	12.54±4.14, CG: 13.58±5.28	group also performed a VRRT program	EO-APS(cm)	38.68±4.76	31.59±2.30	37.93±3.16	37.58±3.81		(p<0.05). FRT and TUG demonstrated
	Age(yrs): EG: 57.31±10.53,	for 30 <i>min</i> , 5times a week for	EO-MLS(cm)	35.41±3.31	33.51±2.91	34.78±3.74	33.19±4.47		significant improvement in the EG
	CG: 54.42±11.44	1month. The CG	EO-TS(cm)	52.16±5.97	49.27±6.71	51.30±5.93	50.94±3.97		but not in the CG. All conditions
	Gender: 15 M, 10 F	performed a conventional rehabilitation	EC-APS(cm)	56.80±8.43	55.40±9.12	60.86±14.67	60.87±15.28		with eyes open and the medial-lateral sway with eyes
		program and a placebo VRRT program.	EC-MLS(cm)	50.18±5.69	47.31±5.83	52.65±13.56	53.50±10.65		closed demonstrated significant improvement in
			EC-TS(cm)	84.36±8.16	82.93±7.11	85.40±19.34	84.60±20.84		postural sway in the VRRT group (p<0.05), but not in the CG. The anterior-posterior sway and medial- lateral sway distance with eyes open showed significant improvements in the EG compared to the CG.
Aristela de Freitas Zanona <i>et al</i> (2019)(41) Brazil	10 stroke patients. Onset period:- Age: 67 0845.54 Gender: 6 M, 4 F	30 sessions/ week, of 1 <i>hr</i> , in which VR games were selected to favor bilateral and symmetrical movements.	BBS (mean±SD)	37.5±9.81	44.0±8.66	·	·	СТ	Balance significantly improved with VR.
Hyung Young Lee <i>et al</i> (2015)(40) South Korea	24 stroke patients. VR-based training group(n:12) and task-oriented training group(n:12). Onset period:- Age(yrs): EG: 45.91±12.28, CG: 49.16±12.85 Gender: 16 M,8 F	The VR-based training group: Nintendo Wii Fit Plus for 30 <i>min</i> /day, 3times/week for 6 weeks. The task- oriented training group:additional task-oriented programs for 30 <i>min</i> /day, 3times/week for 6 weeks. Both groups: conventional physical therapy for an <i>hr</i> /day, 5 times/week for 6 weeks.	FRT(<i>cm</i>) (mean±SD)	15.84±6.32	24.75±7.44	16.40±5.91	21.39 ± 6.31	RCT	The pre-and post-test values measured In both groups, stable FRT increased significantly (p< <a.0.05). In the FRT, there was a distinction between virtual Training and task-oriented training groups (p<<a.0.0001).< td=""></a.0.0001).<></a.0.05).
In-Wook Lee et al (2015)(39) South Korea	20 stroke patients. EG(n:10) and CG(n:10). Onset period:- Age(yrs): EG: 57.2±9.2, CG: 52.7±11.7 Gender: 11 M,9 F	CG: proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation exercise program. The VR exercise program allocated to the EG included simultaneous cognitive tasks in VR space. Both exercise programs for the EG and CG were performed forty-5 <i>minl</i> day, 3times/week, for 6 weeks.	BBS(score) (Mean±SD) TUG(sec (Mean±SD)	37.8±2.2 21.2±2.9	46.2±2.3 13.6±0.9	38.6±1.3 22.1±2.1	41.5±3.7 18.3±1.4	RCT	The EG demonstrated a significant difference after intervention in BBS and TUGT ($p<0.05$). The differences in BBS and TUGT of the EG looked significant compared to the CG($p<0.05$).

Poursaeed F and Nokhostin Ansari N

Cont Table 3.

Cont Table 3	3.											
Roberto Llore'ns <i>et al</i> (2015)(22) Spain	Llore'ns stroke et al patients. (2015)(22) Two 15groups. Spain Onset EG: 334.13±60.79, CG: 316.73±49.81 Age(yrs): EG: 55.47±9.63, CG:	Twenty 45-min training sessions with the telerehabilitation system, 3times/week. CG: trained with the system in the clinic. EG:	BBS	47.53±3.85	Week 8: 51.20±2.11 Week12: 51.53±2.07	48.80±5.01	Week 8: 51.07±5.09 Week 12: 51.27±5.12	RCT	The clinical effectiveness of the VR-based intervention is supported by an improvement of 3 to 4 points in the BBS scores between the two evaluations, demonstrating that intensive, repetitive,			
		trained in their homes.	POMA-B	14.53±1.68	Week8: 15.40±0.82 Week12: 15.47±0.74	15.07±1.10	Week8: 15.33±0.72 Week12: 15.53±0.74		adaptive, and task- oriented training can promote clinical benefits even long afterthe injury. Important improvements were observed in the POMA-B from the initial to the final evaluation, although the modifications			
			POMA-G	10.00±0.93	Week8: 10.93±0.79 Week12: 11.00±0.84	10.40±1.45	Week8: 10.80±1.37 Week12: 10.93±1.22		detected were not as noteworthy as in the BBS. Major effects may have been avoided by the sensitivity of the POMA-B in detecting changes in the condition of our sample. The improvement was enhanced by 4 CG participants and 3 EG participants.			
Roberto Lloréns <i>et al</i> (2014)(57)	20 stroke patients. EG (n: 10), CG (n:10)	Both groups: conventional therapy for 20 one- <i>hr</i>	BBS	47.2 ±6.7	51.0 ±4.6	44.4 ±7.0	46.2 ±5.7	RCT	The EG showed statistically significant improvements in the BBS and the			
Spain ິ໌		sp: EG: sessions/week. ±232.4, The EG group 587.6 underwent 22.1 30 min VR :G: 58.3 interventions 6, CG: and 30 min ±11.6 conventional	sessions, 5 sessions/week. The EG group underwent 30 <i>min</i> VR interventions and 30 <i>min</i>	sessions/week. The EG group underwent 30 <i>min</i> VR interventions and 30 <i>min</i> conventional	sessions/week. 10 The EG group underwent 30 <i>min</i> VR interventions and 30 <i>min</i> conventional	10-m Walking Test (s)	13.4 ±6.4	11.5 ±5.3	17.0 ±10.9	17.0 ±10.1		10-m Walking Test compared with the CG. Also, a considerable number of participants from the EG decreased their balance disability as measured by
	Gender: 9M, 11F	therapy.	Tinetti Performance- Oriented Mobility Assessment – Balance	14.0 ±3.0	15.2 ±0.8	13.8 ±1.7	13.2 ±1.9		the Brunel Balance Assessment. Results suggest that the VR- based intervention can promote the acquisition of the motor strategies necessary for performing the fast and safe postural			
			Brunel Balance Assessment	Level≤9: 2(20%) Level	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)		changes that are necessary to confront the changing environmental stimuli			
				=10: 0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)		that threaten stability.			
				Level =11: 2 (20%)	1 (10%)	3 (30%)	3 (30%)					
				Level =12: 6 (60%)	8 (80%)	4 (40%)	4 (40%)					
Vitor Antônio dos Santos	40 stroke patients. PNF (n:15),	Twice-weekly 50- <i>min</i> sessions for 2 months.	(Fugl-Meyer Scale) balance	PNF: 10.5±1.3	PNF: 11.3±1.4	PNF/VR: 11.14±1.5	PNF/VR: 11.1±1.7	RCT	Significant improvement in the balance in the PNF and PNE\/P groups			
Santos Junior (2019) (60)	VR (n:11), and PNF/VR (n:14). Onset period(mos): PNF: 95.8±99.4, VR: 87.9±64.7, PNF/VR: 46.7±58.6 Age: PNF: 55.5±9.6, PNF/ VR: 52.7±13.3 Gender: 23 M, 17 F	The PNF/VR group performed both PNF and VR exercises performed Nintendo Wii electronic games.		VR: 10.64±1.4	VR: 11.5±2.0				and PNF/VR groups was seen.			

Devinder Kaur Ajit Singh <i>et al</i> (2013)(34) Malaysia	28 stroke patients. EG (n:15) and CG (n:13). Onset period (mos): EG: 40.5±41.8, CG: 34.9±23.6 Age (yrs): EG: 65.4±9.8, CG: 67.0±8.4 Gender: 16 M, 12 F	EG: 30 <i>min</i> VR balance games in addition to 90 <i>min</i> of standard physiotherapy. CG: 2 hrs of routine standard physiotherapy. Both groups: 12 therapy sessions: 2-hr sessions twice/week for 6 weeks.	TUG (score) (mean (SD)) Overall balance score (score) (mean (SD))	25.33 (14.38) 2.53 (1.02)	23.07 (12.22) 2.70 (0.72)	23.27 (12.15) 3.25 (1.12)	21.69 (12.29) 3.31 (1.39)	CT	Both groups showed a decrease in static balance performance. There were no significant improvements in either group regarding BI scores.
Ki Hun Cho et al (2014)(61) South Korea	30chronic stroke patients. EG (n:15) and CG (n:15). Onset period (days): EG: 414.46 150.38, CG: 460.33 186.78 Age(yrs) :EG: 65.86 5.73,	Both groups: standard rehabilitation program, the EG: TRWVR for 30 <i>min</i> /day, 3times/week, for 6weeks. CG: treadmill walking program for 30 <i>min</i> /day, 3times/week, for 6weeks.	AP-PSV, mm/s ML-PSV, mm/s PSVM, mm2 BBS (score) TUG (s) Tinetti Performance-	7.46 2.67 8.52 3.28 20.29 11.00 39.26 4.13 22.43 3.25 14.0±3.0	6.93 2.08 8.08 3.51 19.82 11.05 42.60 3.06 20.01 2.78 15.2±0.8	7.44 2.91 9.38 4.91 20.82 10.50 39.53 5.69 21.45 4.78 13.8±1.7	6.94 2.60 8.68 5.42 20.68 13.49 41.06 5.29 20.29 4.82 13.2±1.9	RCT	Significant differences in the time factor for dynamic balance and gait (P<0.05) in the EG and CG were seen, except for static balance. Findings indicate that the real-world video recording has an effect on dynamic balance and gait in chronic stroke patients
	CG: 10/5 (66.7/33.3) Gender: 15 M,15 F	5 3.3) er:	Oriented Mobility Assessment						when added to treadmill walking.

TNote: M: Male, F: Female, EG: Experimental Group, CG: Control Group, BBS: Berg Balance Scale, MAS: Motor Assessment Scale, CE: Eyes Closed OE: Eyes Open, CoP: Center of Pressure, TIS: Trunk Impairment Scale; FRT: Functional Reach Test, FMA: Fugl-Meyer Assessment, PASS: Postural Assessment Scale, LoS: Limit of Stability, TUG: Timed Up and Go test, MBI: Modified Barthel Index, 10MWT: 10m Walking Test, SBI: Static Balance Index, PSPL: Postural Sway Path Length, APSS: Average Postural Sway Speed, A-P: AnteroPosterior, M-L: MedioLateral, 6MWT: 6 *Min* Walk Test, ABC: Activities-Specific Balance Confidence, mFRT: modified Functional Reach Test, SV: Sway Velocity, SVV: Subjective Visual Vertical Test, FES: Falls Efficacy Scale, MLS: Medial-Lateral Speed, VM: Velocity Moment, APS: Anterior-Posterior Speed, FSST: Four Step Square Test, CTSIB: Clinical Test for Sensory Interaction in Balance, STOLL: Standing On the Left Leg, STORL: Standing On the Right Leg, ROM: Romberg's Test, sROM: sharpened Romberg's Test, FMLE: : Fugl-Meyer scale for Lower Extremity, FIM: Functional Independence Measure, PSV: Postural Sway Velocity, apec: antero-posterior with eye close, APS: Anterior-Posterior Speed, TS: Total Sway distance, FAC: Functional Ambulation Category, PSVM: Postural Sway Velocity Moment, APSS: Average Postural Sway Speed, POMA-B: Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment Balance subscale, POMA-G: Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment Gait subscale, RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial, CT: Clinical Trial.

The sample size of the studies was mostly small. 14 studies had a sample size of fewer than 30 participants (33-46) and one study had over 50 participants (51). All the studies included male and female participants except two studies (44,46) in which the participants were only male participants. And, the gender of the participants in the two studies was not mentioned (38, 62).

Among all the studies, only one study included a follow-up result (22), which assessed balance control three months after training. Of the 23 studies included, eight studies used Wii-based VR for balance training (33,34,38,40,41,59,60,62). One study utilized a telerehabilitation system (22), one study used multi-exergaming (37), one used VR ankle exercise (36), and another study utilized VR stepping exercise (57). Xbox Kinect was utilized in two studies(58,64). Immersive VR was used as a balance training system in one study (44), and speed-interactive pedaling training using a smartphone VR application was

Volume 7 Number 1 Winter 2024

Cont Table 3.

reported in another article (63). A newly-developed game was tested and reported in an article (46). Visual feedback training was a method utilized in one study (43), while another study used VR reflection therapy (VRRT) (42). Patients in one study were treated using the Virtual Reality Rehabilitation System (51). In one study, researchers assessed the effect of VR accompanied by cognitive tasks on balance ability in chronic stroke patients (39). Authors in one study evaluated the effect of treadmill training based realworld video recording (TRWVR) on balance control of chronic stroke patients (61). VR treadmill training was reported in another article (45).

The control group in most studies performed conventional therapy, while three studies did not use any control group for comparing the results (41,46, 51). Control groups in other studies were treadmill training (45,61,62), placebo VRRT (42), taskoriented training (40), proprioceptive neuromuscular PNF (39), ergometer training (64), conventional therapy, and cycle training (63). In one study, control group participants watched a documentary as their treatment (36). The control group in one study performed either conventional therapy or no intervention (44). The effect of VR on balance control was assessed in a home or clinic setting (control group) (22). In one of the articles, the subjects were allocated to three groups, PNF, VR, and PNF, plus VR (60). A range of outcome measures was used to measure balance including BBS (22,33,35,39,41, 42,44,46,51,58,61), TUG (33,36,37,39,42,45,61,64), and Tinetti (44,57,61). Details of each study can be found in table 3.

Methodological quality of the included articles

We used the PEDro scale (65) to assess the methodological quality of the included studies. The PEDro scale comprised 11 items, and the study's score was determined by whether or not the items were met. Each satisfied item (except the first item) is worth one point toward the total score, which ranged from 0 to 10. The total score was divided into three levels: (1) high quality (score 6–10), (2) fair quality (score 4–5), and (3) poor quality (score≤3)

(66). The PEDro scores for the included articles are reported in table 4.

The methodological quality rating of included studies on the PEDro scale varied between 2 and 8 points with a median of 5.39 points. Two reviewers independently assessed the quality of included articles with the PEDro scale. In case of disagreement in the quality assessment of the two reviewers, a consensus was reached by discussion.

Discussion

Thirty-three papers involving a total of 930 patients with stroke were reviewed concerning balance improvement post-stroke with VR training. All the papers in this study are classified based on stroke stage and discussed in detail in the acute, subacute, and chronic stages.

a) Effects of VR on balance performance in acute stroke subjects

The results indicate that balance can be improved with VR. However, the addition of VR training

combined with conventional training does not provide additional benefits over CT alone. The lack of sufficient studies regarding VR effectiveness in the acute phase of stroke might be a possible explanation for this unfavorable result. Also, the sample sizes of participants included in the studies were not large enough to be generalizable for the rehabilitation of all the patients after stroke. Though the two studies related to the effectiveness of VR training in balance post-stroke were randomized control studies, only one used random allocation and assessor blindness to the participant's grouping. Moreover, these studies did not evaluate the long-term effects of VR balance training within rehabilitation. Based on the PEDro scale, quality of the two articles was fair. Ranges of outcome measures were used in the articles, but BBS was the common used outcome measure in the related papers.

b) Effects of VR on balance performance in subacute stroke subjects

The current data highlight the importance of VR training as an adjacent to standard therapy for improving balance status in the subacute phase of stroke. While the usefulness of VR therapy is clearly supported in all studies, one study (52) showed that training with VR does not have superiority over conventional therapy. The study tested a novel interactive motion-capture-based rehabilitation using commercially available software (Jintronix[™]), which improved balance outcome measures in the experimental group, but there was a lack of between-group differences in the study.

There are several possible explanations for this inconclusive result. Randomizing concealment was used only in three studies (47,52,55). Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that all seven studies used blinded assessors in their research. Based on the PEDro scale quality of five articles was high, and two papers had fair quality. Besides, sample sizes were generally small, which lacks external validity. Therefore, these findings may not be applicable to the wider population.

Another possible explanation for this heterogeneity is that, except for one study (53), studies did not include follow-up assessments in their research settings. The most frequently used outcome measures were BBS,

Table 4. PEDro scores of the included studies

		Stores of the included studies										
Study	Eligibil- ity Criteria	Ran- dom Alloca- tion	Con- cealed Alloca- tion	Baseline Compara- bility	Sub- ject Blind- ed	Clini- cian Blinded	Asses- sor Blinded	Data for at Least1 Out- come From> 85% of subjects	No Miss- ing Data or If Missing inten- tion- to-Treat Analysis	Between- Groups Analysis	Point Esti- mates and Variabil- ity	Total Score (/10)
B. S. Rajaratnam <i>et al</i> (50)	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	5
Yoon Bum Song <i>et al</i> (54)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Myung-Mo Lee (49)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	5
Trupti Kulkarni <i>et al</i> (48)	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Ayça Utkan Karasu <i>et al</i> (47)	Yes	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	7
Myung Mo Lee <i>et al</i> (32)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
Seung Kyu Park <i>et al</i> (56)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Giovanni Morone <i>et al</i> (53)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	7
John Cannell <i>et al</i> (52)	Yes	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	8
Tülay Tarsuslu Şimşek <i>et al</i> (55)	Yes	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	8
Shih- Hsiang Ciou <i>et al</i> (46)	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	4
Gui Bin Song <i>et al</i> (64)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Jinhwa Jung <i>et al</i> (45)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
Nikita Girishbhai Shobhanal <i>et al</i> (58)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Irene Cortés- Pérez <i>et al</i> (44)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	7
Kyeongjin Lee (63)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	7

Poursaeed F and Nokhostin Ansari N

Cont Table 4.

Cont Table 4.												
Nara Kim <i>et al</i> (38)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Yo-Soon Bang <i>et al</i> (62)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Imre Cikajilo <i>et al</i> (37)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Pawel Kiper <i>et al</i> (51)	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Changho Yom <i>et al</i> (36)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
Seok Won Lee <i>et al</i> (43)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	5
Ki Hun Cho <i>et al</i> (33)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Nildo Manoel da Silva Ribeiro <i>et al</i> (59)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
Taesung In <i>et al</i> (42)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
Aristela de Freitas Zanona <i>et al</i> (41)	No	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Hyung Young Lee <i>et al</i> (40)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	5
In-Wook Lee <i>et al</i> (39)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Roberto Llore´ns <i>et al</i> (22)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	7
Roberto Lloréns <i>et al</i> (57)	Yes	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	8
Vitor Antônio dos Santos Junior (60)	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	6
Devinder Kaur Ajit Singh <i>et al</i> (34)	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	4
Ki Hun Cho <i>et al</i> (61)	Yes	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	7

IRANIAN MEDICAL COUNCIL 21

TUG, and FRT, among a range of different outcome measures.

c) Effects of VR on balance performance in chronic stroke subjects

Studies across chronic stroke patients indicated that VR training could be an effective method of enhancing balance. However, in three studies, patients did not show significant improvement compared to the control group, which received only conventional therapy (34,37,59). Our findings confirm that studies have conflicting results, which can be due to several reasons. Firstly, among all studies, only two articles used allocation concealment (57,61). Also, more than half of the studies were non-blind studies. Although many of the studies had a PEDro scale of 6≥, small sample sizes of participants avoid generalizable results. A variety of outcome measures were used, but the most popular outcome measure among reviewed articles was BBS and TUG.

We performed a review to summarize and report the findings of included articles related to the effect of VR training on balance recovery in stroke survivors. Our results show that most of the articles claim that applying VR training in addition to standard rehabilitation has an added advantage over routine rehabilitation alone in improving balance status following stroke. On the contrary, a number of studies confirmed the usefulness of VR treatment as equal to standard rehabilitation for improving balance ability following stroke.

Varying results obtained from the studies have some possible reasons. Apart from different study settings and divergent quality of included articles, the intensity of the VR intervention and the VR system used were extensively variable among included studies. Furthermore, the chronicity of the stroke might be one of the most significant factors in balance recovery.

Overall, the benefit of VR treatment as an additional intervention to the standard rehabilitation of balance training following stroke has been confirmed in acute, subacute, and chronic phases. Nonetheless, the superiority of VR training to conventional therapy for improving stroke patients' balance ability has moderate evidence. It should be noted that some of VR training characteristics *e.g.*, strong motivation, high repetition ability, adaptability, and variability based on each patient baseline, *etc.* might be accountable for having a

more beneficial effect with VR training. One point to be considered is that our results from the included papers did not report any adverse events with using VR-based interventions.

There have been systematic reviews (11,21,67-69) conducted to evaluate the utility of VR technologies in retraining post-stroke individuals. These reviews tended to have broader scopes of investigation and included gait and/or upper limb retraining and/or cognitive rehabilitation. Furthermore, these reviews only included RCTs, removing studies with different designs. The positive results of VR-based intervention in this review article are consistent with data from previous systematic reviews (10,11,67-69) and scoping reviews (26). However, Chen et al (21) in their systematic review suggested moderate evidence to support VR training as an effective adjunct to standard rehabilitation for improving balance for patients with chronic stroke. They also concluded that in acute or subacute stroke patients, the effect of VR training on balance recovery is less clear.

Our study aimed to review studies using VR-related systems as an intervention to improve balance control post-stroke. Findings from our review highlight the importance of a well-designed randomized control trial with an appropriate sample size that includes all three phases of stroke patients to implement VR in the rehabilitation programs of balance recovery. Another important factor is considering the severity of the patient's condition.

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first review study regarding the impact of VR on balance recovery that includes and categorizes articles based on stroke phases. Overall, this study strengthens the idea that VR training has the potential to become an effective adjacent to routine rehabilitation treatments for improving balance status post-stroke. However, to achieve integrated clinical practice protocols conducting a comprehensive RCT that incorporates all three phases of the stroke, and an appropriate study setting is necessary to identify the standard VR-based rehabilitation intervention settings for balance deficit stroke patients.

Study limations and suggestions for future studies

One of the limitations of this study is that only 33

studies were included in this review, which might not be representative of all the available research in this field. Another limitation of the study is the small sample size of the included studies which might limit the generalizability of the results.

Besides, the studies included in this review were heterogeneous in terms of VR interventions, outcome measures, and stroke severity, which might affect the comparability of the studies. Furthermore, most of the studies did not include follow-up assessments, which might limit the understanding of the long-term effects of VR interventions on balance recovery post-stroke. Future studies should conduct well-designed randomized controlled trials with larger sample sizes to provide more robust evidence regarding the effectiveness of VR interventions on balance recovery post-stroke. Future studies should also include long-term follow-up assessments to evaluate the sustainability of the effects of VR interventions on balance recovery. Moreover, future studies can strive to standardize the VR interventions used, as well as the outcome measures, to enhance the comparability of the studies. It is important to suggest for future studies to include stroke patients with different severities to evaluate the effectiveness of VR interventions on balance recovery in different stages of stroke.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Feigin VL, Norrving B, Mensah GA. Global burden of stroke. Circ Res 2017;120(3):439-48.

2. de Rooij I, Port I, Visser-Meily J, Meijer JW. Virtual reality gait training versus non-virtual reality gait training for improving participation in subacute stroke survivors: study protocol of the ViRTAS randomized controlled trial. Trials 2019 Jan 29;20(1):89.

3. Li J, Zhong D, Ye J, He M, Liu X, Zheng H, et al Rehabilitation for balance impairment in patients after stroke: a protocol of a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2019;9:e026844.

4. Arnold CM, Sran MM, Harrison EL. Exercise for fall risk reduction in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic review. Physiother Can 2008;60(4):358-72.

5. Frändin K, Grönstedt H, Helbostad JL, Bergland A, Andresen M, Puggaard L, et al Long-term effects of individually tailored physical training and activity on physical function, well-being and cognition in Scandinavian nursing home residents: a randomized controlled trial. Gerontology 2016;62(6):571-80.

6. Schmid AA, Van Puymbroeck M, Altenburger PA, Miller KK, Combs SA, Page SJ. Balance is associated with quality of life in chronic stroke. Top Stroke Rehabil 2013;20(4):340-6.

7. Yang X, Wang P, Liu C, He C, Reinhardt JD. The effect of whole body vibration on balance, gait performance and mobility in people with stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Rehabil 2015;29(7):627-38.

8. Broderick P, Horgan F, Blake C, Ehrensberger M, Simpson D, Monaghan K. Mirror therapy for improving lower limb motor function and mobility after stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gait Posture 2018;63:208-20.

9. Xu L, Dong Y, Wang M, Chen L, Zhang Z, Su D, et al Acupuncture for balance dysfunction in patients with stroke: a systematic review protocol. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97(31):e11681.

10. Corbetta D, Imeri F, Gatti R. Rehabilitation that incorporates virtual reality is more effective than standard rehabilitation for improving walking speed, balance and mobility after stroke: a systematic review. J Physiother 2015;61(3):117-24.

11. Li Z, Han XG, Sheng J, Ma SJ. Virtual reality for improving balance in patients after stroke: a systematic review

and meta-analysis. Clin Rehabil 2016;30(5):432-40.

12. Schmid AA, Van Puymbroeck M, Altenburger PA, Schalk NL, Dierks TA, Miller KK, et al Poststroke balance improves with yoga: a pilot study. Stroke 2012;43(9):2402-7.

13. Tyson SF, Kent RM. Effects of an ankle-foot orthosis on balance and walking after stroke: a systematic review and pooled meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2013;94(7):1377-85.

14. Chen BL, Guo JB, Liu MS, Li X, Zou J, Chen X, et al Effect of traditional Chinese exercise on gait and balance for stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10(8):e0135932.

15. Mendis S, Puska P, Norrving B, Organization WH. Global atlas on cardiovascular disease prevention and control: World Health Organization; 2011.

16. Yao M, Chen J, Jing J, Sheng H, Tan X, Jin J. Defining the rehabilitation adherence curve and adherence phases of stroke patients: an observational study. Patient Prefer Adherence 2017;11:1435-41.

17. Mubin O, Alnajjar F, Jishtu N, Alsinglawi B, Al Mahmud A. Exoskeletons with virtual reality, augmented reality, and gamification for stroke patients' rehabilitation: systematic review. JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol 2019;6(2):e12010.

18. Merians AS, Jack D, Boian R, Tremaine M, Burdea GC, Adamovich SV, et al Virtual reality-augmented rehabilitation for patients following stroke. Phys Ther 2002;82(9):898-915.

19. Lewis GN, Rosie JA. Virtual reality games for movement rehabilitation in neurological conditions: how do we meet the needs and expectations of the users? Disabil Rehabil 2012;34(22):1880-6.

20. Pallesen H, Andersen M, Hansen G, Lundquist C, Brunner I. Patients' and health professionals' experiences of using virtual reality technology for upper limb training after stroke: a qualitative substudy. Rehabil Res Pract 2018;2018:1-11.

21. Chen L, Lo WL, Mao YR, Ding MH, Lin Q, Li H, et al Effect of virtual reality on postural and balance control in patients with stroke: a systematic literature review. Biomed Res Int 2016;2016;7309272.

22. Lloréns R, Noé E, Colomer C, Alcañiz M. Effectiveness, usability, and cost-benefit of a virtual reality-based telerehabilitation program for balance recovery after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(3):418-25.e2.

23. Laver KE, Lange B, George S, Deutsch JE, Saposnik G, Crotty M. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;11(11):CD008349-CD.

24. Adamovich S, Merians A, Boian R, Tremaine M, Burdea G, Recce M, et al A virtual reality based exercise system for hand rehabilitation post-stroke: transfer to function. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2004;7:4936-9.

25. Qian J, McDonough DJ, Gao Z. The effectiveness of virtual reality exercise on individual's physiological, psychological and rehabilitative outcomes: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17(11):4133.

26. Darekar A, McFadyen BJ, Lamontagne A, Fung J. Efficacy of virtual reality-based intervention on balance and mobility disorders post-stroke: a scoping review. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2015;12:46.

27. Rizzo A, Schultheis M, Kerns K, Mateer C. Analysis of assets for virtual reality applications in neuropsychology. Neuropsychol Rehabil 2004;14:207-39.

28. Dobkin BH. Strategies for stroke rehabilitation. Lancet Neurol 2004;3(9):528-36.

29. Mao Y, Chen P, Li L, Huang D. Virtual reality training improves balance function. Neural Regen Res 2014;9(17):1628-34.

30. Iruthayarajah J, McIntyre A, Cotoi A, Macaluso S, Teasell R. The use of virtual reality for balance among individuals with chronic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Top Stroke Rehabil 2017;24(1):68-79.

31. de Rooij IJM, van de Port IGL, Meijer JWG. Effect of virtual reality training on balance and gait ability in patients with stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. Phys Ther 2016;96(12):1905-18.

32. Lee MM, Lee K, Song C. Game-based virtual reality canoe paddling training to improve postural balance and upper extremity function: a preliminary randomized controlled study of 30 patients with subacute stroke. Med Sci Monit 2018;24:2590-8.

33. Cho K, Lee K, Song C. Virtual-reality balance training with a video-game system improves dynamic balance in chronic stroke patients. Tohoku J Exp Med 2012;228:69-74.

34. Singh DK, Mohd Nordin NA, Abd Aziz NA, Lim BK, Soh LC. Effects of substituting a portion of standard physiotherapy time with virtual reality games among community-dwelling stroke survivors. BMC Neurol 2013;13:199.

35. Lloréns R, Gil-Gómez JA, Alcañiz M, Colomer C, Noé E. Improvement in balance using a virtual realitybased stepping exercise: a randomized controlled trial involving individuals with chronic stroke. Clin Rehabil 2015;29(3):261-8.

36. Yom C, Cho HY, Lee BH. Effects of virtual reality-based ankle exercise on the dynamic balance, muscle tone, and gait of stroke patients. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:845-9.

37. Cikajlo I, Rudolf M, Mainetti R, Borghese NA. Multi-exergames to set targets and supplement the intensified conventional balance training in patients with stroke: a randomized pilot trial. Front Psychol 2020;11:572.

38. Kim N, Park Y, Lee BH. Effects of community-based virtual reality treadmill training on balance ability in patients with chronic stroke. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:655-8.

39. Lee IW, Kim YN, Lee DK. Effect of a virtual reality exercise program accompanied by cognitive tasks on the balance and gait of stroke patients. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:2175-7.

40. Lee H, Kim Y, Lee S. Effects of virtual reality-based training and task-oriented training on balance performance in stroke patients. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:1883-8.

41. Zanona AdF, de Souza RF, Aidar FJ, de Matos DG, Santos KMB, Paixão MdC, et al Use of virtual rehabilitation to improve the symmetry of body temperature, balance, and functionality of patients with stroke sequelae. Ann Neurosci 2019;25(3):166-73.

42. In T, Lee K, Song C. Virtual reality reflection therapy improves balance and gait in patients with chronic stroke: randomized controlled trials. Med Sci Monit 2016;22:4046-53.

43. Lee S, Shin D, Song C. The effects of visual feedback training on sitting balance ability and visual perception of patients with chronic stroke. J Phys Ther Sci 2013;25:635-9.

44. Cortés-Pérez I, Nieto-Escamez F, Obrero-Gaitan E. Immersive virtual reality in stroke patients as a new approach for reducing postural disabilities and falls risk: a case series. Brain Sci 2020;10:296.

45. Jung J, Yu J, Kang H. Effects of virtual reality treadmill training on balance and balance self-efficacy in stroke patients with a history of falling. J Phys Ther Sci 2012;24:1133-6.

46. Ciou SH, Hwang YS, Chen CC, Chen SC, Chou SW, Chen YL. Balance training using an interactive game to enhance the use of the affected side after stroke. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:3855-61.

47. Karasu A, Balevi Batur E, Karataş G. Effectiveness of WII-based rehabilitation in stroke: a randomized controlled study. J Rehabil Med 2018;50.

48. S.Kulkarni Deshmukh T, Karajgi A, Pandit U. Comparison between virtual reality training using x-box 360 kinect and conventional physiotherapy on trunk, postural control and quality of life in chronic stroke survivals. Int J Curr Adv Res 2018;7:11567-77.

49. Lee MM, Shin DC, Song CH. Canoe game-based virtual reality training to improve trunk postural stability, balance, and upper limb motor function in subacute stroke patients: a randomized controlled pilot study. J Phys Ther Sci 2016;28(7):2019-24.

50. Rajaratnam B, Kaien J, Jialin K, Sweesin K, Fenru S, Enting L, et al Does the inclusion of virtual reality games within conventional rehabilitation enhance balance retraining after a recent episode of stroke? Rehabil Res Pract 2013;2013:649561.

51. Kiper P, Luque-Moreno C, Pernice S, Maiestrello L, Agostini M, Turolla A. Functional changes in the lower extremity after non-immersive virtual reality and physiotherapy following stroke. J Rehabil Med 2020;52:jrm00122.

52. Cannell J, Jovic E, Rathjen A, Lane K, Tyson A, Callisaya M, et al The efficacy of interactive, motion capturebased rehabilitation on functional outcomes in an inpatient stroke population: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2017;32:269215517720790.

53. Morone G, Tramontano M, Iosa M, Shofany J, Iemma A, Musicco M, et al The Efficacy of Balance Training with Video Game-Based Therapy in Subacute Stroke Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Biomed Res Int 2014;2014:580861.

54. Song YB, Chun MH, Kim W, Lee SJ, Yi JH, Park DH. The effect of virtual reality and tetra-ataxiometric posturography programs on stroke patients with impaired standing balance. Ann Rehabil Med 2014;38(2):160-6.

55. Şimşek T, Çekok K. The effects of Nintendo Wii TM -based balance and upper extremity training on activities of daily living and quality of life in patients with sub-acute stroke: a randomized controlled study. Int J Neurosci 2015;126:1-10.

56. Park S, Yang D, Uhm Y, Heo J, Kim J. The effect of virtual reality-based eccentric training on lower extremity muscle activation and balance in stroke patients. J Phys Ther Sci 2016;28:2055-8.

57. Llorens R, Gil-Gomez JA, Alcañiz Raya M, Colomer C, Noé E. Improvement in balance using a virtual realitybased stepping exercise: a randomized controlled trial involving individuals with chronic stroke. Clin Rehabil 2015 Mar;29(3):261-8.

58. Shobhana NGR, Shweta. The effect of X box 360 kinect-virtual reality intervention on balance and gait training in stroke patient: An interventional study. Indian J Public Health Res Dev 2020;11(7):532-7.

59. Ribeiro N, Ferraz D, Pedreira É, Mascarenha Í, Pinto A, Neto M, et al Virtual rehabilitation via Nintendo Wii® and conventional physical therapy effectively treat post-stroke hemiparetic patients. Top Stroke Rehabil 2015;22:1074935714Z.000.

60. Junior V, Santos M, Ribeiro N, Lima Maldonado I. Combining proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation and virtual reality for improving sensorimotor function in stroke survivors: a randomized clinical trial. J Cent Nerv Syst Dis 2019;11:117957351986382.

61. Cho K, Lee WH. Effect of treadmill training based real-world video recording on balance and gait in chronic stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. Gait Posture 2014;39(1):523-8.

62. Bang Y-S, Son K, Kim H. Effects of virtual reality training using Nintendo Wii and treadmill walking exercise on balance and walking for stroke patients. J Phys Ther Sci 2016;28:3112-5.

63. Lee K. Speed-interactive pedaling training using smartphone virtual reality application for stroke patients: singleblinded, randomized clinical trial. Brain Sci 2019;9:295.

64. Song G, Park E. Effect of virtual reality games on stroke patients' balance, gait, depression, and interpersonal relationships. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:2057-60.

65. PEDro scale [Available from: https://pedro.org.au/english/resources/pedro-scale/.

66. Maher CG, Sherrington C, Herbert RD, Moseley AM, Elkins M. Reliability of the PEDro scale for rating quality of randomized controlled trials. Phys Ther 2003;83(8):713-21.

67. Zhang B, Li D, Liu Y, Wang J, Xiao Q. Virtual reality for limb motor function, balance, gait, cognition and daily function of stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Adv Nurs 2021;77(8):3255-73.

68. de Rooij IJ, van de Port IG, Meijer JG. Effect of virtual reality training on balance and gait ability in patients with stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. Phys Ther 2016;96(12):1905-18.

69. Cano Porras D, Siemonsma P, Inzelberg R, Zeilig G, Plotnik M. Advantages of virtual reality in the rehabilitation of balance and gait: systematic review. Neurology 2018;90(22):1017-25.